arXiv:math/0410150v15 [math.QA] 6 Jul 2007

Classification of PM Quiver Hopf Algebras

Shouchuan Zhang [a, b], Yao-Zhong Zhang [b], Hui-xiang Chen [c]

a. Department of Mathematics, Human University

Changsha 410082, P.R. China

b. Department of Mathematics, University of Queensland

Brisbane 4072, Australia

c. Department of Mathematics, Yangzhou University

Yangzhou 225002, P.R. China

Abstract

We describe certain quiver Hopf algebras by parameters. This leads to the classification of multiple Taft algebras as well as pointed Yetter-Drinfeld modules and their corresponding Nichols algebras. In particular, when the ground-field k is the complex field and G is a finite abelian group, we classify quiver Hopf algebras over G, multiple Taft algebras over G and Nichols algebras in ${}^{kG}_{kG}\mathcal{YD}$. We show that the quantum enveloping algebra of a complex semisimple Lie algebra is a quotient of a semi-path Hopf algebra.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16W30, 16G10

keywords: Quiver, Hopf algebra, Hopf bimodule

Introduction

In noncommutative algebras quivers and associated path algebras are intensively studied because of a remarkable interaction between homology theory, algebraic geometry, and Lie theory. Ringel's approach to quantum groups via quivers suggested that there may be an interesting overlapping also between the theory of quivers and Hopf algebras. Some years ago Cibils and Rosso [CR1, CR2] started to study quivers admitting a (graded) Hopf algebra structure and there are many papers (e.g. [CHYZ, OZ]) follow these works. The representation theory of (graded) Hopf algebras has applications in many branches of physics and mathematics such as the construction of solutions to the quantum Yang-Baxter equation and topological invariants (see e.g. [Ma1, RT2]. Quivers have also been used in gauge theory and string theory (see e.g. [Zh, RR]).

This paper can be viewed an extension of the analysis of Cibils and Rosso. Let G be a group and kG be the group algebra of G over a field k. It is well-known [CR2] that the kG-Hopf bimodule category ${}_{kG}^{kG}\mathcal{M}_{kG}^{kG}$ is equivalent to the direct product category $\prod_{C\in\mathcal{K}(G)}\mathcal{M}_{kZ_{u(C)}}$, where $\mathcal{K}(G)$ is the set of conjugate classes in G, $u:\mathcal{K}(G)\rightarrow G$ is a map such that $u(C)\in C$ for any $C\in\mathcal{K}(G)$, $Z_{u(C)}=\{g\in G\mid gu(C)=u(C)g\}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{kZ_{u(C)}}$ denotes the category of right $kZ_{u(C)}$-modules (see [CR2, Proposition 3.3] [CR1, Theorem 4.1] and Theorem 1.1). Thus for any Hopf quiver (Q,G,r), the kG-Hopf bimodule structures on the arrow comodule kQ_1^c can be derived from the right $kZ_{u(C)}$-module structures on ${}^{u(C)}(kQ_1^c)^1$ for all $C\in\mathcal{K}(G)$. If the arrow comodule kQ_1^c admits a kG-Hopf bimodule structure, then there exist six graded Hopf algebras: co-path Hopf algebra kQ^c, one-type-co-path Hopf algebras $kG[kQ_1^c]$, one-type-path Hopf algebras $(kG)^*[kQ_1^a]$, semi-path Hopf algebra kQ^s, semi-co-path Hopf algebra kQ^{sc} and path Hopf algebra kQ^a. We call these Hopf algebras quiver Hopf algebras over G. If the corresponding $kZ_{u(C)}$-modules ${}^{u(C)}(kQ_1^c)^1$ is pointed (i.e. it is zero or a direct sum of one dimensional $kZ_{u(C)}$-modules) for all $C\in\mathcal{K}(G)$, then kQ_1^c is called a PM kG-Hopf bimodule. The six graded Hopf algebras derived from the PM kG-Hopf bimodule kQ_1^c are called PM quiver Hopf algebras. A Yetter-Drinfeld H-module V is *pointed* if $V=0$ or V is a direct sum of one dimensional YD H-modules. If V is a pointed YD H-module, then the corresponding Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(V)$ is called a PM *Nichols algebra*. For example, when G is a finite abelian group of exponent m and k contains a primitive m-th root of 1 (e.g. k is the complex field), all quiver Hopf algebras are PM (see Lemma 1.2), all YD kG-modules are pointed and all Nichols algebras of all YD kG-modules are PM (see Lemma 2.3).

The aim of this paper is to provide parametrization of PM quiver Hopf algebras, multiple Taft algebras and PM Nichols algebras. In other words, this paper provides a kind of classification of these Hopf algebras. This paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we examine the PM quiver Hopf algebras by means of ramification system with characters. In Section 2, we describe PM Nichols algebras and multiple Taft algebras by means of element system with characters. In Section 3, we show that the diagram of a quantum weakly commutative multiple Taft algebra is not only a Nichols algebra but also a quantum linear space in ${}_{kG}^{kG}\mathcal{YD}$; the diagram of a semi-path Hopf algebra of ESC is a quantum tensor algebra in ${}_{kG}^{kG}\mathcal{YD}$; the quantum enveloping algebra of a complex semisimple Lie algebra is a quotient of a semi-path Hopf algebra.

0 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we work over a fixed field k. All algebras, coalgebras, Hopf algebras, and so on, are defined over k; dim, $\otimes$ and Hom stand for $\dim_k$, $\otimes_k$ and Hom_k, respectively. Books [DNR, Mo, Sw] provide the necessary background for Hopf algebras and book [ARS] provides a nice description of the path algebra approach.

Let $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}^+$ and $\mathbb{N}$ denote sets of all integers, all positive integers and all non-negative integers, respectively. For sets X and Y, we denote by $|X|$ the cardinal number of X and by X^Y or $X^{|Y|}$ the Cartesian product $\Pi_{y\in Y}X_y$ with $X_y = X$ for any $y \in Y$. If X is finite, then $|X|$ is the number of elements in X. If $X = \oplus_{i\in I}X_{(i)}$ as vector spaces, then we denote by ι_i the natural injection from $X_{(i)}$ to X and by π_i the corresponding projection from X to $X_{(i)}$. We will use μ to denote the multiplication of an algebra, Δ to denote the comultiplication of a coalgebra, α^-, α^+, δ^- and δ^+ to denote the left module, right module, left comodule and right comodule structure maps, respectively. The Sweedler's sigma notations for coalgebras and comodules are $\Delta(x) = \sum x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)}$, $\delta^-(x) = \sum x_{(-1)} \otimes x_{(0)}$, $\delta^+(x) = \sum x_{(0)} \otimes x_{(1)}$. Let G be a group. We denote by $Z(G)$ the center of G. Let $\widehat{G}$ denote the set of characters of all one-dimensional representations of G. It is clear that $\widehat{G} = \{\chi \mid \chi$ is a group homomorphism from G to the multiplicative group of all non-zero elements in k $\}$.

A quiver $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, s, t)$ is an oriented graph, where Q_0 and Q_1 are the sets of vertices and arrows, respectively; s and t are two maps from Q_1 to Q_0. For any arrow $a \in Q_1$, $s(a)$ and $t(a)$ are called its start vertex and end vertex, respectively, and a is called an arrow from $s(a)$ to $t(a)$. For any $n \geq 0$, an n-path or a path of length n in the quiver Q is an ordered sequence of arrows $p = a_n a_{n-1} \cdots a_1$ with $t(a_i) = s(a_{i+1})$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Note that a 0-path is exactly a vertex and a 1-path is exactly an arrow. In this case, we define $s(p) = s(a_1)$, the start vertex of p, and $t(p) = t(a_n)$, the end vertex of p. For a 0-path x, we have $s(x) = t(x) = x$. Let Q_n be the set of n-paths. Let ${}^yQ_n^x$ denote the set of all n-paths from x to y, $x, y \in Q_0$. That is, ${}^yQ_n^x = \{p \in Q_n \mid s(p) = x, t(p) = y\}$.

A quiver Q is *finite* if Q_0 and Q_1 are finite sets. A quiver Q is *locally finite* if ${}^yQ_1^x$ is a finite set for any $x, y \in Q_0$.

Let G be a group. Let $\mathcal{K}(G)$ denote the set of conjugate classes in G. A formal sum $r = \sum_{C\in\mathcal{K}(G)} r_C C$ of conjugate classes of G with cardinal number coefficients is called a *ramification* (or *ramification data*) of G, i.e. for any $C \in \mathcal{K}(G)$, r_C is a cardinal number. In particular, a formal sum $r = \sum_{C\in\mathcal{K}(G)} r_C C$ of conjugate classes of G with non-negative integer coefficients is a ramification of G.

For any ramification r and a $C \in \mathcal{K}(G)$, since r_C is a cardinal number, we can choice a set $I_C(r)$ such that its cardinal number is r_C without lost generality. Let $\mathcal{K}_r(G) := \{C \in \mathcal{K}(G) \mid r_C \neq 0\} = \{C \in \mathcal{K}(G) \mid I_C(r) \neq \emptyset\}$. If there exists a ramification r of G such that the cardinal number of ${}^yQ_1^x$ is equal to r_C for any $x, y \in G$ with $x^{-1}y \in C \in \mathcal{K}(G)$, then Q is called a *Hopf quiver with respect to the ramification data* r. In this case, there is a bijection from $I_C(r)$ to ${}^yQ_1^x$, and hence we write ${}^yQ_1^x = \{a_{y,x}^{(i)} \mid i \in I_C(r)\}$ for any $x, y \in G$ with $x^{-1}y \in C \in \mathcal{K}(G)$. Denote by (Q, G, r) the Hopf quiver of G with respect to r.

The coset decomposition of $Z_{u(C)}$ in G is

$$G \;=\; \bigcup_{\theta\in\Theta_C} Z_{u(C)}g_\theta, \tag{0.1}$$

where Θ_C is an index set. It is easy to check that $|\Theta_C| = |C|$. We always assume that the representative element of the coset $Z_{u(C)}$ is the identity 1 of G. We claim that $\theta = \eta$ if $g_\theta^{-1}u(C)g_\theta = g_\eta^{-1}u(C)g_\eta$. In fact, by the equation $g_\theta^{-1}u(C)g_\theta = g_\eta^{-1}u(C)g_\eta$ one gets $g_\eta g_\theta^{-1}u(C) = u(C)g_\eta g_\theta^{-1}$. Thus $g_\eta g_\theta^{-1} \in Z_{u(C)}$, and hence $\theta = \eta$. For any $x, y \in G$ with $x^{-1}y \in C \in \mathcal{K}(G)$, there exists a unique $\theta \in \Theta_C$ such that

$$x^{-1}y = g_\theta^{-1}u(C)g_\theta. \tag{0.2}$$

Without specification, we will always assume that x, y, θ and C satisfy the above relation (0.2). Note that θ is only determined by $x^{-1}y$. For any $h \in G$ and $\theta \in \Theta_C$, there exist unique $h' \in Z_{u(C)}$ and $\theta' \in \Theta_C$ such that $g_\theta h = h'g_{\theta'}$. Let $\zeta_\theta(h) = h'$. Then we have

$$g_\theta h \;=\; \zeta_\theta(h)g_{\theta'}. \tag{0.3}$$

If $u(C)$ lies in the center $Z(G)$ of G, we have $\zeta_\theta = id_G$. In particular, if G is abelian, then $\zeta_\theta = id_G$ since $Z_{u(C)} = G$.

Let H be a Hopf algebra. A (left-left) *Yetter-Drinfeld module* V over H (simply, YD H-module) is simultaneously a left H-module and a left H-comodule satisfying the following compatibility condition:

$$\sum (h\cdot v)_{(-1)} \otimes (h\cdot v)_{(0)} = \sum h_{(1)}v_{(-1)}S(h_{(3)}) \otimes h_{(2)}\cdot v_{(0)}, \quad v \in V, h \in H. \tag{0.4}$$

We denote by ${}^H_H\mathcal{Y}D$ the category of YD H-modules; the morphisms in this category preserve both the action and the coaction of H.

The structure of a Nichols algebra appeared first in the paper [Ni], and N. Andruskiewitsch and H. J. Schneider used it to classify finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebras [AS1, AS2, AS3, AS4]. Its definition can be found in [AS3, Definition 2.1].

If $\phi : A \to A'$ is an algebra homomorphism and (M, α^-) is a left A'-module, then M becomes a left A-module with the A-action given by $a \cdot x = \phi(a)\cdot x$ for any $a \in A$, $x \in M$, called a pullback A-module through ϕ, written as ${}_\phi M$. Dually, if $\phi : C \to C'$ be a coalgebra homomorphism and (M, δ^-) is a left C-comodule, then M is a left C'-comodule with the C'-comodule structure given by $\delta'^- := (\phi\otimes \mathrm{id})\delta^-$, called a push-out C'-comodule through ϕ, written as ${}^\phi M$.

Let A be an algebra and M be an A-bimodule. Then the tensor algebra $T_A(M)$ of M over A is a graded algebra with $T_A(M)_{(0)} = A$, $T_A(M)_{(1)} = M$ and $T_A(M)_{(n)} = \otimes_A^n M$ for $n > 1$. That is, $T_A(M) = A \oplus (\bigoplus_{n>0} \otimes_A^n M)$. Let D be another algebra. If h is an algebra map from A to D and f is an A-bimodule map from M to ${}_hD_h$, then by the universal property of $T_A(M)$ (see [Ni, Proposition 1.4.1]) there is a unique algebra map $T_A(h,f): T_A(M)\to D$ such that $T_A(h,f)\iota_0=h$ and $T_A(h,f)\iota_1=f$. One can easily see that $T_A(h,f)=h+\sum_{n>0}\mu^{n-1}T_n(f)$, where $T_n(f)$ is the map from $\otimes_A^n M$ to $\otimes_A^n D$ given by $T_n(f)(x_1\otimes x_2\otimes\cdots\otimes x_n)=f(x_1)\otimes f(x_2)\otimes\cdots\otimes f(x_n)$, i.e., $T_n(f)=f\otimes_A f\otimes_A\cdots\otimes_A f$. Note that μ can be viewed as a map from $D\otimes_A D$ to D.

Dually, let C be a coalgebra and let M be a C-bicomodule. Then the cotensor coalgebra $T_C^c(M)$ of M over C is a graded coalgebra with $T_C^c(M)_{(0)}=C$, $T_C^c(M)_{(1)}=M$ and $T_C^c(M)_n=\square_C^n M$ for $n>1$. That is, $T_C^c(M)=C\oplus(\bigoplus_{n>0}\square_C^n M)$.

1 PM **quiver Hopf algebras**

In this section we describe PM quiver Hopf algebras by parameters.

We first describe the category of Hopf bimodules by categories of modules.

Let G be a group and let (B,δ^-,δ^+) be a kG-bicomodule. Then the (x,y)-isotypic component of B is

$$^yB^x=\{b\in B\mid \delta^-(b)=y\otimes b, \delta^+(b)=b\otimes x\},$$

where $x,y\in G$. Let M be another kG-bicomodule and $f: B\to M$ be a kG-bicomodule homomorphism. Then $f(^yB^x)\subseteq {}^yM^x$ for any $x,y\in G$. Denote by $^yf^x$ the restriction map $f|_{^yB^x}: {}^yB^x\to {}^yM^x$, $x,y\in G$.

Theorem 1. *(See [CR2, Proposition 3.3] and [CR1, Theorem 4.1]) The category* $^{kG}_{kG}\mathcal{M}^{kG}_{kG}$ *of kG-Hopf bimodules is equivalent to the Cartesian product category* $\prod_{C\in\mathcal{K}(G)}\mathcal{M}_{kZ_{u(C)}}$ *of categories* $\mathcal{M}_{kZ_{u(C)}}$ *of right* $kZ_{u(C)}$*-modules for all* $C\in\mathcal{K}(G)$.

For later use, we give the mutually inverse functors between the two categories here. The functors W from $^{kG}_{kG}\mathcal{M}^{kG}_{kG}$ to $\prod_{C\in\mathcal{K}(G)}\mathcal{M}_{kZ_{u(C)}}$ is defined by

$$W(B)=\{^{u(C)}B^1\}_{C\in\mathcal{K}(G)},\ W(f)=\{^{u(C)}f^1\}_{C\in\mathcal{K}(G)}$$

for any object B and morphism f in $^{kG}_{kG}\mathcal{M}^{kG}_{kG}$, where the right $kZ_{u(C)}$-module action on $^{u(C)}B^1$ is given by

$$b\lhd h=h^{-1}\cdot b\cdot h,\quad b\in {}^{u(C)}B^1,\ h\in Z_{u(C)}. \tag{1.1}$$

The functor V from $\prod_{C\in\mathcal{K}(G)}\mathcal{M}_{kZ_{u(C)}}$ to $^{kG}_{kG}\mathcal{M}^{kG}_{kG}$ is defined as follows:

For $M=\{M(C)\}_{C\in\mathcal{K}(G)}\in\prod_{C\in\mathcal{K}(G)}\mathcal{M}_{kZ_{u(C)}}$, $V(M)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^yV(M)^x &= x\otimes M(C)\otimes_{kZ_{u(C)}} g_\theta,\\
h\cdot(x\otimes m\otimes_{kZ_{u(C)}} g_\theta) &= hx\otimes m\otimes_{kZ_{u(C)}} g_\theta,\\
(x\otimes m\otimes_{kZ_{u(C)}} g_\theta)\cdot h &= xh\otimes m\otimes_{kZ_{u(C)}} g_\theta h = xh\otimes(m\lhd\zeta_\theta(h))\otimes_{kZ_{u(C)}} g_{\theta'},
\end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

where $h, x, y \in G$ with $x^{-1}y \in C$ and the relation (0.2) and (0.3), $m \in M(C)$. For any morphism $f = \{f_C\}_{C\in\mathcal{K}(G)} : \{M(C)\}_{C\in\mathcal{K}(G)} \to \{N(C)\}_{C\in\mathcal{K}(G)}$, $V(f)(x \otimes m \otimes_{kZ_{u(C)}} g_\theta) = x \otimes f_C(m) \otimes_{kZ_{(u(C))}} g_\theta$ for any $m \in M_C$, $x, y \in G$ with $x^{-1}y = g_\theta^{-1}u(C)g_\theta$. That is, ${}^{y}V(f)^{x} = \mathrm{id} \otimes f_C \otimes \mathrm{id}$.

An A-module M is called *pointed* if $M = 0$ or M is a direct sum of one dimensional A-modules.

Definition 1.1. *A kG-Hopf bimodule N is called a kG-Hopf bimodule with pointed module structure (or a* PM *kG-Hopf bimodule in short) if there exists an object $\prod_{C\in\mathcal{K}(G)} M(C)$ in $\prod_{C\in\mathcal{K}(G)} \mathcal{M}_{kZ_{u(C)}}$ such that $M(C)$ is a right pointed $kZ_{u(C)}$-module for any $C \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ and $N \cong V(\{M(C)\}_{C\in\mathcal{K}(G)}) := \bigoplus_{y=g_\theta^{-1}u(C)g_\theta,\ x,y\in G} x \otimes M(C) \otimes_{kZ_{u(C)}} g_\theta$ as kG-Hopf bimodules. Here $V(\{M(C)\}_{C\in\mathcal{K}(G)}) := \bigoplus_{y=g_\theta^{-1}u(C)g_\theta,\ x,y\in G} x \otimes M(C) \otimes_{kZ_{u(C)}} g_\theta$ is defined in the proof of Theorem 1.*

Lemma 1.2 – 1.6, Theorem 2 and Lemma 2.7 are well-known.

Lemma 1.2. *Assume that G is a finite commutative group of exponent m. If k contains a primitive m-th root of 1, then (i) every kG-module is a pointed module; (ii) every kG-Hopf bimodule is* PM*.*

Lemma 1.3. *Let $H = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} H_{(i)}$ be a graded Hopf algebra. Set $B := H_{(0)}$ and $M := H_{(1)}$. Then M is a B-Hopf bimodule with the B-actions and B-coactions given by*

$$\alpha^{-} = \pi_1\mu(\iota_0 \otimes \iota_1), \qquad \alpha^{+} = \pi_1\mu(\iota_1 \otimes \iota_0),\ \ \delta^{-} = (\pi_0 \otimes \pi_1)\Delta\iota_1,\ \ \delta^{+} = (\pi_1 \otimes \pi_0)\Delta\iota_1.$$

Lemma 1.4. (i) *Let B be an algebra and M be a B-bimodule. Then the tensor algebra $T_B(M)$ of M over B admits a graded Hopf algebra structure if and only if B admits a Hopf algebra structure and M admits a B-Hopf bimodule structure.*

(ii) *Let B be a coalgebra and M be a B-bicomodule. Then the cotensor coalgebra $T_B^c(M)$ of M over B admits a graded Hopf algebra structure if and only if B admits a Hopf algebra structure and M admits a B-Hopf bimodule structure.*

Let B be a bialgebra (Hopf algebra) and M be a B-Hopf bimodule. Then $T_B^c(M)$ is a graded bialgebra (Hopf algebra) by Lemma 1.4. Let $B[M]$ denote the subalgebra of $T_B^c(M)$ generated by B and M. Then $B[M]$ is a bialgebra (Hopf algebra) of type one by [Ni, section 2.2, p.1533]. $B[M]$ is a graded subspace of $T_B^c(M)$.

Lemma 1.5. *Let B and B' be two Hopf algebras. Let M and M' be B-Hopf bimodule and B'-Hopf bimodule, respectively. Assume that $\phi : B \to B'$ be a Hopf algebra map. If ψ is simultaneously a B-bimodule and B'-bicomodule map from ${}^{\phi}M^{\phi}$ to ${}_{\phi}M'_{\phi}$, then* (i) *$T_B(\iota_0\phi, \iota_1\psi) := \iota_0\phi + \sum_{n>0} \mu^{n-1}T_n(\iota_1\psi)$ is a graded Hopf algebra map from $T_B(M)$ to $T_{B'}(M')$.* (ii) *$T_{B'}^c(\phi\pi_0, \psi\pi_1) := \phi\pi_0 + \sum_{n>0} T_n^c(\psi\pi_1)\Delta_{n-1}$ is a graded Hopf algebra map from $T_B^c(M)$ to $T_{B'}^c(M')$.*

Lemma 1.6. *Let B and B' be two Hopf algebras. Let M and M' be B-Hopf bimodule and B'-Hopf bimodule, respectively. Then the following statements are equivalent:* (i) *There exists a Hopf algebra isomorphism $\phi : B \to B'$ such that $M \cong {}^{\phi^{-1}}_{\phi}M'^{\phi^{-1}}_{\phi}$ as B-Hopf bimodules.* (ii) *$T_B(M)$ and $T_{B'}(M')$ are isomorphic as graded Hopf algebras.* (iii) *$T^c_B(M)$ and $T^c_{B'}(M')$ are isomorphic as graded Hopf algebras.* (iv) *$B[M]$ and $B'[M']$ are isomorphic as graded Hopf algebras.*

Let $Q = (G, Q_1, s, t)$ be a quiver of a group G. Then kQ_1 becomes a kG-bicomodule under the natural comodule structures:

$$\delta^-(a) = t(a) \otimes a, \quad \delta^+(a) = a \otimes s(a), \quad a \in Q_1, \tag{1.3}$$

called an *arrow comodule*, written as kQ_1^c. In this case, the path coalgebra kQ^c is exactly isomorphic to the cotensor coalgebra $T^c_{kG}(kQ_1^c)$ over kG in a natural way (see [CM] and [CR1]). We will regard $kQ^c = T^c_{kG}(kQ_1^c)$ in the following. Moreover, when G is finite, kQ_1 becomes a $(kG)^*$-bimodule with the module structures defined by

$$p \cdot a := \langle p, t(a)\rangle a, \quad a \cdot p := \langle p, s(a)\rangle a, \quad p \in (kG)^*, a \in Q_1, \tag{1.4}$$

written as kQ_1^a, called an *arrow module*. Therefore, we have a tensor algebra $T_{(kG)^*}(kQ_1^a)$. Note that the tensor algebra $T_{(kG)^*}(kQ_1^a)$ of kQ_1^a over $(kG)^*$ is exactly isomorphic to the path algebra kQ^a. We will regard $kQ^a = T_{(kG)^*}(kQ_1^a)$ in the following.

Assume that Q is a finite quiver on finite group G. Let $\xi_{kQ_1^a}$ denote the linear map from kQ_1^a to $(kQ_1^c)^*$ by sending a to a^* for any $a \in Q_1$ and $\xi_{kQ_1^c}$ denote the linear map from kQ_1^c to $(kQ_1^a)^*$ by sending a to a^* for any $a \in Q_1$. Here $\{a^* \mid a^* \in (kQ_1)^*\}$ is the dual basis of $\{a \mid a \in Q_1\}$.

Lemma 1.7. *Assume that Q is a finite Hopf quiver on finite group G. Then*

(i) If $(M, \alpha^-, \alpha^+, \delta^-, \delta^+)$ is a finite dimensional B-Hopf bimodule and B is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra, then $(M^*, \delta^{-*}, \delta^{+*}, \alpha^{-*}, \alpha^{+*})$ is a B^*-Hopf bimodule.

(ii) If $(kQ_1^c, \alpha^-, \alpha^+, \delta^-, \delta^+)$ is a kG- Hopf bimodule, then there exist unique left $(kG)^*$-comodule operation $\delta^-_{kQ_1^a}$ and right $(kG)^*$-comodule operation $\delta^+_{kQ_1^a}$ such that $(kQ_1^a, \alpha^-_{kQ_1^a}, \alpha^+_{kQ_1^a}, \delta^-_{kQ_1^a}, \delta^+_{kQ_1^a})$ becomes a $(kG)^*$-Hopf bimodule and $\xi_{kQ_1^a}$ becomes a $(kG)^*$-Hopf bimodule isomorphism from $(kQ_1^a, \alpha^-_{kQ_1^a}, \alpha^+_{kQ_1^a}, \delta^-_{kQ_1^a}, \delta^+_{kQ_1^a})$ to $((kQ_1^c)^*, \delta^{-*}, \delta^{+*}, \alpha^{-*}, \alpha^{+*})$.

(iii) If $(kQ_1^a, \alpha^-, \alpha^+, \delta^-, \delta^+)$ is a $(kG)^*$- Hopf bimodule, then there exist unique left kG-module operation $\alpha^-_{kQ_1^c}$ and right kG-module operation $\alpha^+_{kQ_1^c}$ such that $(kQ_1^c, \alpha^-_{kQ_1^c}, \alpha^+_{kQ_1^c}, \delta^-_{kQ_1^c}, \delta^+_{kQ_1^c})$ become a kG-Hopf bimodule and $\xi_{kQ_1^c}$ becomes a kG-Hopf bimodule isomorphism from $(kQ_1^c, \alpha^-_{kQ_1^c}, \alpha^+_{kQ_1^c}, \delta^-_{kQ_1^c}, \delta^+_{kQ_1^c})$ to $((kQ_1^a)^*, \delta^{-*}, \delta^{+*}, \alpha^{-*}, \alpha^{+*})$.

(iv) $\xi_{kQ_1^a}$ is a $(kG)^*$-Hopf bimodule isomorphism from $(kQ_1^a, \alpha^-_{kQ_1^a}, \alpha^+_{kQ_1^a}, \delta^-_{kQ_1^a}, \delta^+_{kQ_1^a})$ to $((kQ_1^c)^*, \delta^-_{kQ_1^c}{}^*, \delta^+_{kQ_1^c}{}^*, \alpha^-_{kQ_1^c}{}^*, \alpha^+_{kQ_1^c}{}^*)$ if and only if $\xi_{kQ_1^c}$ becomes a kG-Hopf bimodule isomorphism from $(kQ_1^c, \alpha^-_{kQ_1^c}, \alpha^+_{kQ_1^c}, \delta^-_{kQ_1^c}, \delta^+_{kQ_1^c})$ to $((kQ_1^a)^*, \delta^-_{kQ_1^a}{}^*, \delta^+_{kQ_1^a}{}^*, \alpha^-_{kQ_1^a}{}^*, \alpha^+_{kQ_1^a}{}^*)$.

Proof. It is easy to check (i)–(iii). Now we show (iv). Let $A := kQ_1^a$, $B := kQ_1^c$. Let σ_B denote the canonical linear isomorphism from B to B^{**} by sending b to b^{**} for any $b \in B$, where $< b^{**}, f >=< f, b >$ for any $f \in B^*$. If $A \overset{\xi_A}{\cong} B^*$ as $(kG)^*$-Hopf bimodules, then $B \overset{\sigma_B}{\cong} B^{**} \overset{(\xi_A)^*}{\cong} A^*$ as kG-Hopf bimodules. It is easy to check $\xi_B = (\xi_A)^* \sigma_B$. Therefore ξ_B is a kG-Hopf bimodule isomorphism. Conversely, if $B \overset{\xi_B}{\cong} A^*$ as kG-Hopf bimodules, we can similarly show that $A \cong B^*$ as $(kG)^*$-Hopf bimodules. $\Box$

Theorem 2. *(see [CR1, Theorem 3.3] and [CR2, Theorem 3.1]) Let Q be a quiver over group G. Then the following two statements are equivalent:*

(i) Q is a Hopf quiver.

(ii) Arrow comodule kQ_1^c admits a kG-Hopf bimodule structure.

Furthermore, if Q is finite, then the above are equivalent to the following:

(iii) Arrow module kQ_1^a admits a $(kG)^*$-Hopf bimodule structure.

Assume that Q is a Hopf quiver. It follows from Theorem 2 that there exist a left kG-module structure α^- and a right kG-module structure α^+ on arrow comodule $(kQ_1^c, \delta^-, \delta^+)$ such that $(kQ_1^c, \alpha^-, \alpha^+, \delta^-, \delta^+)$ becomes a kG-Hopf bimodule, called a kG-Hopf bimodule with arrow comodule, written $(kQ_1^c, \alpha^-, \alpha^+)$ in short. We obtain three graded Hopf algebras $T_{kG}(kQ_1^c)$, $T_{kG}^c(kQ_1^c)$ and $kG[kQ_1^c]$, called semi-path Hopf algebra, co-path Hopf algebra and one-type-co-path Hopf algebra, written $kQ^s(\alpha^-, \alpha^+)$, $kQ^c(\alpha^-, \alpha^+)$ and $kG[kQ_1^c, \alpha^-, \alpha^+]$, respectively. Dually, when Q is finite, it follows from Theorem 2 that there exist a left $(kG)^*$-comodule structure δ^- and a right $(kG)^*$-comodule structure δ^+ on arrow module $(kQ_1^a, \alpha^-, \alpha^+)$ such that $(kQ_1^a, \alpha^-, \alpha^+, \delta^-, \delta^+)$ becomes a $(kG)^*$-Hopf bimodule, called a $(kG)^*$-Hopf bimodule with arrow module, written $(kQ_1^a, \delta^-, \delta^+)$ in short. We obtain three graded Hopf algebras $T_{(kG)^*}(kQ_1^a)$, $T_{(kG)^*}^c(kQ_1^a)$ and $(kG)^*[kQ_1^a]$, called path Hopf algebra, semi-co-path Hopf algebra and one-type-path Hopf algebra, written $kQ^a(\delta^-, \delta^+)$, $kQ^{sc}(\delta^-, \delta^+)$ and $(kG)^*[kQ_1^a, \alpha_1, \alpha^+]$, respectively. We call the six graded Hopf algebras the quiver Hopf algebras (over G). We usually omit the (co)module operations when we write these quiver Hopf algebras.

If $\xi_{kQ_1^a}$ or $\xi_{kQ_1^c}$ is a Hopf bimodule isomorphism, then, by Lemma 1.6 and Lemma 1.7, $T_{(kG)^*}(\iota_0, \iota_1\xi_{kQ_1^a})$ and $T_{kG}^c(\pi_0, \xi_{kQ_1^c}\pi_1)$ are graded Hopf algebra isomorphisms from $T_{(kG)^*}(kQ_1^a)$ to $T_{(kG)^*}((kQ_1^c)^*)$ and from $T_{kG}^c(kQ_1^c)$ to $T_{kG}^c((kQ_1^a)^*)$, respectively; $T_{(kG)^*}^c(\pi_0, \xi_{kQ_1^a}\pi_1)$ and $T_{kG}(\iota_0, \iota_1\xi_{kQ_1^c})$ are graded Hopf algebra isomorphisms from $T_{(kG)^*}^c(kQ_1^a)$ to $T_{(kG)^*}^c((kQ_1^c)^*)$ and from $T_{kG}(kQ_1^c)$ to $T_{kG}((kQ_1^a)^*)$, respectively. In this case, (kQ_1^a, kQ_1^c), (kQ^a, kQ^c) and (kQ^s, kQ^{sc}) are said to be arrow dual pairings.

If $(kQ_1^c, \alpha^-, \alpha^+, \delta^-, \delta^+)$ is a PM kG-Hopf bimodule, and (kQ_1^c, kQ_1^a) is an arrow pairing, then $(kQ_1^a, \alpha^{-*}, \alpha^{+*})$ is called a PM $(kG)^*$-Hopf bimodule and six quiver Hopf algebras induced by kQ_1^c and kQ_1^a are called PM quiver Hopf algebras.

Now we are going to describe the structure of all PM kG-Hopf bimodules and the corresponding graded Hopf algebras.

Definition 1.8. *$(G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u)$ is called a ramification system with characters (or* RSC *in short), if r is a ramification of G, u is a map from $\mathcal{K}(G)$ to G with $u(C) \in C$ for any $C \in \mathcal{K}(G)$, and $\overrightarrow{\chi} = \{\chi_C^{(i)}\}_{i\in I_C(r), C\in\mathcal{K}_r(G)} \in \prod_{C\in\mathcal{K}_r(G)}(\widehat{Z_{u(C)}})^{r_C}$ with $\chi_C^{(i)} \in \widehat{Z_{u(C)}}$ for any $i \in I_C(r), C \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$.*

$\mathrm{RSC}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u)$ and $\mathrm{RSC}(G', r', \overrightarrow{\chi'}, u')$ are said to be isomorphic if the following conditions are satisfied:

- *There exists a group isomorphism $\phi : G \to G'$.*
- *For any $C \in \mathcal{K}(G)$, there exists an element $h_C \in G$ such that $\phi(h_C^{-1}u(C)h_C) = u'(\phi(C))$.*
- *For any $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$, there exists a bijective map $\phi_C : I_C(r) \to I_{\phi(C)}(r')$ such that ${\chi'}_{\phi(C)}^{(\phi_C(i))}(\phi(h_C^{-1}hh_C)) = \chi_C^{(i)}(h)$ for all $h \in Z_{u(C)}$ and $i \in I_C(r)$.*

Remark. Assume that $G = G'$, $r = r'$ and $u(C) = u'(C)$ for any $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$. If there is a permutation ϕ_C on $I_C(r)$ for any $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$ such that ${\chi'}_C^{(\phi_C(i))} = \chi_C^{(i)}$ for all $i \in I_C(r)$, then obviously $\mathrm{RSC}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u) \cong \mathrm{RSC}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi'}, u)$.

Proposition 1.9. *If N is a* PM *kG-Hopf bimodule, then there exist a Hopf quiver (Q, G, r), an* RSC$(G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u)$ *and a kG-Hopf bimodule $(kQ_1^c, \alpha^-, \alpha^+)$ with*

$$\alpha^-(h \otimes a_{y,x}^{(i)}) := h \cdot a_{y,x}^{(i)} = a_{hy,hx}^{(i)}, \quad \alpha^+(a_{y,x}^{(i)} \otimes h) := a_{y,x}^{(i)} \cdot h = \chi_C^{(i)}(\zeta_\theta(h))a_{yh,xh}^{(i)}$$

where $x, y, h \in G$ with $x^{-1}y = g_\theta^{-1}u(C)g_\theta$, ζ_θ is given by (0.3)*, $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$ and $i \in I_C(r)$, such that $N \cong (kQ_1^c, \alpha^-, \alpha^+)$ as kG-Hopf bimodules.*

Proof. Since N is a PM kG-Hopf bimodule, there exists an object $\prod_{C\in\mathcal{K}(G)} M(C)$ in $\prod_{C\in\mathcal{K}(G)} \mathcal{M}_{kZ_{u(C)}}$ such that $M(C)$ is a pointed $kZ_{u(C)}$-module for any $C \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ and $N \cong V(\{M(C)\}_{C\in\mathcal{K}(G)}) = \bigoplus_{y=g_\theta^{-1}u(C)g_\theta,\ x,y\in G} x \otimes M(C) \otimes_{kZ_{u(C)}} g_\theta$ as kG-Hopf bimodules. Let $r = \sum_{C\in\mathcal{K}(G)} r_C C$ with $r_C = \mathrm{dim}M(C)$ for any $C \in \mathcal{K}(G)$. Notice that $\mathrm{dim}M(C)$ denotes the cardinal number of a basis of a basis of $M(C)$ when $M(C)$ is infinite dimensional. Since $(M(C), \alpha_C)$ is a pointed $kZ_{u(C)}$-module, there exist a k-basis $\{x_C^{(i)} \mid i \in I_C(r)\}$ in $M(C)$ and a family of characters $\{\chi_C^{(i)} \in \widehat{Z_{u(C)}} \mid i \in I_C(r)\}$ such that $\alpha_C(x_C^{(i)} \otimes h) = x_C^{(i)} \lhd h = \chi_C^{(i)}(h)x_C^{(i)}$ for any $i \in I_C(r)$ and $h \in Z_{u(C)}$.

We have to show that $(kQ_1^c, \alpha^-, \alpha^+)$ is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{y=g_\theta^{-1}u(C)g_\theta,\ x,y\in G} x \otimes M(C) \otimes_{kZ_{u(C)}} g_\theta$ as kG-Hopf bimodules. Observe that there is a canonical kG-bicomodule isomorphism $\varphi : kQ_1 \to \bigoplus_{y=g_\theta^{-1}u(C)g_\theta,\ x,y\in G} x \otimes M(C) \otimes_{kZ_{u(C)}} g_\theta$ given by

$$\varphi(a_{y,x}^{(i)}) = x \otimes x_C^{(i)} \otimes_{kZ_{u(C)}} g_\theta \tag{1.5}$$

where $x, y \in G$ with $x^{-1}y = g_\theta^{-1}u(C)g_\theta$, $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$ and $i \in I_C(r)$. Now we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\varphi(\alpha^-(h\otimes a^{(i)}_{y,x})) & = & \varphi(a^{(i)}_{hy,hx}) = hx\otimes x^{(i)}_C\otimes_{kZ_{u(C)}} g_\theta \\ & = & h\cdot(x\otimes x^{(i)}_C\otimes_{kZ_{u(C)}} g_\theta)\quad \text{(see (1.2))} \\ & = & h\cdot\varphi(a^{(i)}_{y,x})\end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\varphi(\alpha^+(a^{(i)}_{y,x}\otimes h)) & = & \chi^{(i)}_C(\zeta_\theta(h))\varphi(a^{(i)}_{yh,xh}) = \chi^{(i)}_C(\zeta_\theta(h))xh\otimes x^{(i)}_C\otimes_{kZ_{u(C)}} g_{\theta'} \\ & & (\text{since } hg^{-1}_{\theta'} = g^{-1}_\theta\zeta_\theta(h) \text{ and } yh = xhg^{-1}_{\theta'}u(C)g_{\theta'}) \\ & = & (x\otimes x^{(i)}_C\otimes_{kZ_{u(C)}} g_\theta)\cdot h\quad (\text{ see (1.2)}) \\ & = & \varphi(a^{(i)}_{y,x})\cdot h,\end{array}$$

where $x, y, h\in G$ with $x^{-1}y = g^{-1}_\theta u(C)g_\theta$, ζ_θ is given by (0.3), $C\in\mathcal{K}_r(G)$ and $i\in I_C(r)$. Consequently, φ is a kG-Hopf bimodule isomorphism. □

Let $(kQ^c_1, G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u)$ denote the kG-Hopf bimodule $(kQ^c_1, \alpha^-, \alpha^+)$ given in Lemma 1.9. Furthermore, if (kQ^c_1, kQ^a_1) is an arrow dual pairing, then we denote the $(kG)^*$-Hopf bimodule kQ^a_1 by $(kQ^a_1, G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u)$. We obtain six quiver Hopf algebras $kQ^c(G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u)$, $kQ^s(G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u)$, $kG[kQ^c_1, G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u]$, $kQ^a(G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u)$, $kQ^{sc}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u)$, $(kG)^*[kQ^a_1, G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u]$, called the quiver Hopf algebras determined by RSC(G, r, $\overrightarrow{\chi}$, u).

From Proposition 1.9, it seems that the right kG-action on $(kQ^c_1, G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u)$ depends on the choice of the set $\{g_\theta \mid \theta\in\Theta_C\}$ of coset representatives of $Z_{u(C)}$ in G (see, Eq.(0.1)). The following lemma shows that $(kQ^c_1, G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u)$ is, in fact, independent of the choice of the coset representative set $\{g_\theta \mid \theta\in\Theta_C\}$, up to kG- Hopf bimodule isomorphisms. For a while, we write $(kQ^c_1, G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u) = (kQ^c_1, G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u, \{g_\theta\})$ given before. Now let $\{h_\theta\in G \mid \theta\in\Theta_C\}$ be another coset representative set of $Z_{u(C)}$ in G for any $C\in\mathcal{K}(G)$. That is,

$$G = \bigcup_{\theta\in\Theta_C} Z_{u(C)}h_\theta. \tag{1.6}$$

Lemma 1.10. *With the above notations,* $(kQ^c_1, G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u, \{g_\theta\})$ *and* $(kQ^c_1, G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u, \{h_\theta\})$ *are isomorphic kG-Hopf bimodules.*

Proof. We may assume $Z_{u(C)}h_\theta = Z_{u(C)}g_\theta$ for any $C\in\mathcal{K}(G)$ and $\theta\in\Theta_C$. Then $g_\theta h^{-1}_\theta\in Z_{u(C)}$. Now let $x, y, h\in G$ with $x^{-1}y = g^{-1}_\theta u(C)g_\theta$. Then $x^{-1}y = h^{-1}_\theta(g_\theta h^{-1}_\theta)^{-1}u(C)(g_\theta h^{-1}_\theta)h_\theta = h^{-1}_\theta u(C)h_\theta$ and $h_\theta h = (h_\theta g^{-1}_\theta)g_\theta h = (h_\theta g^{-1}_\theta)\zeta_\theta(h)g_{\theta'} = (h_\theta g^{-1}_\theta)\zeta_\theta(h)(g_{\theta'}h^{-1}_{\theta'})h_{\theta'}$, where $g_\theta h = \zeta_\theta(h)g_{\theta'}$. Hence from Proposition 1.9 we know that the right kG-action on $(kQ^c_1, G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u, \{h_\theta\})$ is given by

$$\begin{array}{rcl}a^{(i)}_{y,x}\cdot h & = & \chi^{(i)}_C((h_\theta g^{-1}_\theta)\zeta_\theta(h)(g_{\theta'}h^{-1}_{\theta'}))a^{(i)}_{yh,xh} \\ & = & \chi^{(i)}_C((g_\theta h^{-1}_\theta)^{-1})\chi^{(i)}_C(\zeta_\theta(h))\chi^{(i)}_C(g_{\theta'}h^{-1}_{\theta'})a^{(i)}_{yh,xh},\ i\in I_C(r).\end{array}$$

However, we also have

$$(xh)^{-1}(yh) = h^{-1}(x^{-1}y)h = h^{-1}g_\theta^{-1}u(C)g_\theta h = g_{\theta'}^{-1}u(C)g_{\theta'}.$$

It follows that the k-linear isomorphism $f : kQ_1 \to kQ_1$ given by

$$f(a_{y,x}^{(i)}) = \chi_C^{(i)}(g_\theta h_\theta^{-1})a_{y,x}^{(i)}$$

for any $x, y \in G$ with $x^{-1}y = g_\theta^{-1}u(C)g_\theta$, $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$ and $i \in I_C(r)$, is a kG-Hopf bimodule isomorphism from $(kQ_1^c, G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u, \{g_\theta\})$ to $(kQ_1^c, G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u, \{h_\theta\})$. $\square$

Now we state one of our main results, which classifies the PM (co-)path Hopf algebras, PM semi-(co-)path Hopf algebras and PM one-type-path Hopf algebras.

Theorem 3. *Let* $(G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u)$ *and* $(G', r', \overrightarrow{\chi'}, u')$ *are two* RSC*'s. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $\mathrm{RSC}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u) \cong \mathrm{RSC}(G', r', \overrightarrow{\chi'}, u')$.

(ii) *There exists a Hopf algebra isomorphism* $\phi : kG \to kG'$ *such that* $(kQ_1^c, G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u) \cong {}^{\phi^{-1}}_{\phi}((kQ_1'^{\,c}, G', r', \overrightarrow{\chi'}, u'))^{\phi^{-1}}_{\phi}$ *as kG-Hopf bimodules.*

(iii) $kQ^c(G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u) \cong kQ'^c(G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u)$. (iv) $kQ^s(G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u) \cong kQ'^s(G', r', \overrightarrow{\chi}', u')$.

(v) $kG[kQ_1^c, G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u] \cong kG'[kQ_1'^{\,c}, G', r', \overrightarrow{\chi}', u']$.

Furthermore, if Q *is finite, then the above are equivalent to the following:*

(vi) $kQ^a(G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u) \cong kQ'^a(G', r', \overrightarrow{\chi}', u')$. (vii) $kQ^{sc}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u) \cong kQ'^{sc}(G', r', \overrightarrow{\chi}', u')$. (viii) $(kG)^*[kQ_1^a, G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u] \cong (kG')^*[kQ_1'^{\,a}, G', r', \overrightarrow{\chi}', u']$. *Notice that the isomorphisms above are ones of graded Hopf algebras but (i) (ii).*

Proof. By Lemma 1.6 and Lemma 1.7, we only have to prove (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii).

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Assume that $\mathrm{RSC}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u) \cong \mathrm{RSC}(G', r', \overrightarrow{\chi'}, u')$. Then there exist a group isomorphism $\phi : G \to G'$, an element $h_C \in G$ such that $\phi(h_C^{-1}u(C)h_C) = u'(\phi(C))$ for any $C \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ and a bijective map $\phi_C : I_C(r) \to I_{\phi(C)}(r')$ such that $\chi'^{(\phi_C(i))}_{\phi(C)}(\phi(h_C^{-1}hh_C)) = \chi_C^{(i)}(h)$ for any $h \in Z_{u(C)}$, $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$ and $i \in I_C(r)$. Then $\phi(h_C^{-1}Z_{u(C)}h_C) = Z_{u'(\phi(C))}$ and $\phi : kG \to kG'$ is a Hopf algebra isomorphism. Now let $G = \bigcup_{\theta\in\Theta_C} Z_{u(C)}g_\theta$ be given as in (0.2) for any $C \in \mathcal{K}(G)$, and assume that the kG-Hopf bimodule $(kQ_1^c, G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u)$ is defined by using these coset decompositions. Then

$$G' = \bigcup_{\theta\in\Theta_C} Z_{u'(\phi(C))}(\phi(h_C^{-1}g_\theta h_C)) \tag{1.7}$$

is a coset decomposition of $Z_{u'(\phi(C))}$ in G' for any $\phi(C) \in \mathcal{K}(G')$. By Lemma 1.10, we may assume that the structure of the kG'-Hopf bimodule $(kQ_1'^{\,c}, G', r', \overrightarrow{\chi}', u')$ is obtained by using these coset decompositions (1.7). Define a k-linear isomorphism $\psi : (kQ_1, G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u) \to (kQ_1', G', r', \overrightarrow{\chi}', u')$ by

$$\psi(a_{y,x}^{(i)}) = \chi_C^{(i)}(\zeta_\theta(h_C^{-1}))a^{(\phi_C(i))}_{\phi(y),\phi(x)}$$

for any $x, y \in G$ with $x^{-1}y = g_\theta^{-1}u(C)g_\theta$, and $i \in I_C(r)$, where $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$ and $g_\theta h_C^{-1} = \zeta_\theta(h_C^{-1})g_\eta$ with $\zeta_\theta(h_C^{-1}) \in Z_{u(C)}$ and $\theta, \eta \in \Theta_C$. It is easy to see that ψ is a kG-bicomodule homomorphism from $(kQ_1^c, G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u)$ to ${}_{\phi}^{\phi^{-1}}(kQ_1'^{c}, G', r', \overrightarrow{\chi}', u')_{\phi}^{\phi^{-1}}$. Since $(hx)^{-1}(hy) = x^{-1}y$ for any $x, y, h \in G$, it follows from Proposition 1.9 that ψ is also a left kG-module homomorphism from $(kQ_1^c, G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u)$ to ${}_{\phi}^{\phi^{-1}}(kQ_1'^{c}, G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u)_{\phi}^{\phi^{-1}}$.

Now let $x, y, h \in G$ with $x^{-1}y = g_\theta^{-1}u(C)g_\theta$, $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$ and $\theta \in \Theta_C$. Assume that $g_\theta h_C^{-1} = \zeta_\theta(h_C^{-1})g_\eta$, $g_\theta h = \zeta_\theta(h)g_{\theta'}$, $g_\eta(h_C h h_C^{-1}) = \zeta_\eta(h_C h h_C^{-1})g_{\eta'}$ and $g_{\theta'}h_C^{-1} = \zeta_{\theta'}(h_C^{-1})g_{\theta''}$ with $\zeta_\theta(h_C^{-1}), \zeta_\theta(h), \zeta_\eta(h_C h h_C^{-1}), \zeta_{\theta'}(h_C^{-1}) \in Z_{u(C)}$ and $\eta, \theta', \eta', \theta'' \in \Theta_C$. Then we have

$$g_\theta h h_C^{-1} \;=\; \zeta_\theta(h)g_{\theta'}h_C^{-1} \;= \zeta_\theta(h)\zeta_{\theta'}(h_C^{-1})g_{\theta''}$$

and

$$g_\theta h h_C^{-1} \;=\; (g_\theta h_C^{-1})(h_C h h_C^{-1}) = \zeta_\theta(h_C^{-1})g_\eta(h_C h h_C^{-1}) \;= \zeta_\theta(h_C^{-1})\zeta_\eta(h_C h h_C^{-1})g_{\eta'}.$$

It follows that $\theta'' = \eta'$ and

$$\zeta_\theta(h)\zeta_{\theta'}(h_C^{-1}) = \zeta_\theta(h_C^{-1})\zeta_\eta(h_C h h_C^{-1}). \tag{1.8}$$

By Proposition 1.9, $a_{y,x}^{(i)} \cdot h = \chi_C^{(i)}(\zeta_\theta(h))a_{yh,xh}^{(i)}$ for any $i \in I_C(r)$. Moreover, we have $(xh)^{-1}(yh) = h^{-1}g_\theta^{-1}u(C)g_\theta h = g_{\theta'}^{-1}u(C)g_{\theta'}$. This implies that

$$\psi(a_{y,x}^{(i)} \cdot h) = \chi_C^{(i)}(\zeta_\theta(h))\psi(a_{yh,xh}^{(i)}) = \chi_C^{(i)}(\zeta_\theta(h))\chi_C^{(i)}(\zeta_{\theta'}(h_C^{-1}))a_{\phi(yh),\phi(xh)}^{(\phi_C(i))}.$$

On the other hand, we have $g_\theta = g_\theta h_C^{-1}h_C = \zeta_\theta(h_C^{-1})g_\eta h_C$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(x)^{-1}\phi(y) &= \phi(x^{-1}y) = \phi(g_\theta^{-1}u(C)g_\theta) = \phi(h_C^{-1}g_\eta^{-1}u(C)g_\eta h_C)\\
&= \phi(h_C^{-1}g_\eta^{-1}h_C)\phi(h_C^{-1}u(C)h_C)\phi(h_C^{-1}g_\eta h_C)\\
&= \phi(h_C^{-1}g_\eta h_C)^{-1}u'(\phi(C))\phi(h_C^{-1}g_\eta h_C).
\end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(h_C^{-1}g_\eta h_C)\phi(h) &= \phi(h_C^{-1}g_\eta h_C h h_C^{-1}h_C)\\
&= \phi(h_C^{-1}\zeta_\eta(h_C h h_C^{-1})g_{\eta'}h_C)\\
&= \phi(h_C^{-1}\zeta_\eta(h_C h h_C^{-1})h_C)\phi(h_C^{-1}g_{\eta'}h_C).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus by Proposition 1.9 one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{\phi(y),\phi(x)}^{(\phi_C(i))} \cdot \phi(h) &= \chi'^{(\phi_C(i))}_{\phi(C)}(\phi(h_C^{-1}\zeta_\eta(h_C h h_C^{-1})h_C))a_{\phi(yh),\phi(xh)}^{(\phi_C(i))}\\
&= \chi_C^{(i)}(\zeta_\eta(h_C h h_C^{-1}))a_{\phi(yh),\phi(xh)}^{(\phi_C(i))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now it follows from Eq.(1.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(a_{y,x}^{(i)}) \cdot \phi(h) &= \chi_C^{(i)}(\zeta_\theta(h_C^{-1}))a_{\phi(y),\phi(x)}^{(\phi_C(i))} \cdot \phi(h)\\
&= \chi_C^{(i)}(\zeta_\theta(h_C^{-1}))\chi_C^{(i)}(\zeta_\eta(h_C h h_C^{-1}))a_{\phi(yh),\phi(xh)}^{(\phi_C(i))}\\
&= \psi(a_{y,x}^{(i)} \cdot h).
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that ϕ is a right kG-module homomorphism, and hence a kG-Hopf bimodule isomorphism from $(kQ_1^c, G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u)$ to ${}^{\phi^{-1}}_{\phi}(kQ_1'^c, G', r', \overrightarrow{\chi}', u')^{\phi^{-1}}_{\phi}$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Assume that there exist a Hopf algebra isomorphism $\phi : kG \to kG'$ and a kG-Hopf bimodule isomorphism $\psi : (kQ_1^c, G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u) \to {}^{\phi^{-1}}_{\phi}(kQ_1'^c, G', r', \overrightarrow{\chi}', u')^{\phi^{-1}}_{\phi}$. Then $\phi : G \to G'$ is a group isomorphism. Let $C \in \mathcal{K}(G)$. Then $\phi(u(C)), u'(\phi(C)) \in \phi(C) \in \mathcal{K}(G')$, and hence $u'(\phi(C)) = \phi(h_C)^{-1}\phi(u(C))\phi(h_C) = \phi(h_C^{-1}u(C)h_C)$ for some $h_C \in G$. Since ψ is a kG'-bicomodule isomorphism from ${}^{\phi}(kQ_1^c, G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u)^{\phi}$ to $(kQ_1'^c, G', r', \overrightarrow{\chi}', u')$ and $\phi(h_C^{-1}u(C)h_C) = u'(\phi(C))$, by restriction one gets a k-linear isomorphism

$$\psi_C : {}^{h_C^{-1}u(C)h_C}(kQ_1)^1 \to {}^{u'(\phi(C))}(kQ_1')^1, \ x \mapsto \psi(x).$$

We also have a k-linear isomorphism

$$f_C : {}^{u(C)}(kQ_1)^1 \to {}^{h_C^{-1}u(C)h_C}(kQ_1)^1, \ x \mapsto h_C^{-1} \cdot x \cdot h_C.$$

Since $\phi(h_C^{-1}u(C)h_C) = u'(\phi(C))$ and $h_C^{-1}Z_{u(C)}h_C = Z_{h_C^{-1}u(C)h_C}$, one gets $\phi(h_C^{-1}Z_{u(C)}h_C) = Z_{u'(\phi(C))}$. Hence ϕ and h_C induce an algebra isomorphism

$$\sigma_C : \ kZ_{u(C)} \to kZ_{u'(\phi(C))}, \ h \mapsto \phi(h_C^{-1}hh_C).$$

Using the hypothesis that ψ is a kG-bimodules homomorphism from $(kQ_1^c, G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u)$ to ${}_{\phi}(kQ_1'^c, G', r', \overrightarrow{\chi}', u')_{\phi}$, one can easily check that the composition $\psi_C f_C$ is a right $kZ_{u(C)}$-module isomorphism from ${}^{u(C)}(kQ_1)^1$ to $({}^{u'(\phi(C))}(kQ_1')^1)_{\sigma_C}$. Since both ${}^{u(C)}(kQ_1)^1$ and $({}^{u'(\phi(C))}(kQ_1')^1)_{\sigma_C}$ are pointed right $kZ_{u(C)}$-modules, they are semisimple $kZ_{u(C)}$-modules for any $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$. Moreover, $ka^{(i)}_{u(C),1}$ and $ka^{(j)}_{u'(\phi(C)),1}$ are simple submodules of ${}^{u(C)}(kQ_1)^1$ and $({}^{u'(\phi(C))}(kQ_1')^1)_{\sigma_C}$, respectively, for any $i \in I_C(r)$ and $j \in I_{\phi(C)}(r')$, where $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$. Thus for any $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$, there exists a bijective map $\phi_C : I_C(r) \to I_{\phi(C)}(r')$ such that $ka^{(i)}_{u(C),1}$ and $(ka^{(\phi_C(i))}_{u'(\phi(C)),1})_{\sigma_C}$ are isomorphic right $kZ_{u(C)}$-modules for any $i \in I_C(r)$, which implies $\chi_C^{(i)}(h) = \chi'^{(\phi_C(i))}_{\phi(C)}(\phi(h_C^{-1}hh_C))$ for any $h \in Z_{u(C)}$ and $i \in I_C(r)$. It follows that $\mathrm{RSC}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u) \cong \mathrm{RSC}(G', r', \overrightarrow{\chi'}, u')$. $\Box$

Up to now we have classified the PM quiver Hopf algebras by means of RSC's. In other words, ramification systems with characters uniquely determine their corresponding PM quiver Hopf algebras up to graded Hopf algebra isomorphisms.

Example 1.11. *Assume that k is a field with char$(k) \neq 2$. Let $G = \{1, g\} \cong \mathbf{Z}_2$ be the cyclic group of order 2 with the generator g. Let r be a ramification data of G with $r_1 = m$ and $r_{\{g\}} = 0$ and (Q, G, r) be the corresponding Hopf quiver, where m is a positive integer. Then ${}^1(Q_1)^1 = \{a^{(i)}_{1,1} \mid i = 1, 2, \cdots, m\}$, ${}^g(Q_1)^g = \{a^{(i)}_{g,g} \mid i = 1, 2, \cdots, m\}$, ${}^1(Q_1)^g$ and ${}^g(Q_1)^1$ are two empty sets. For simplification, we write $x_i = a^{(i)}_{1,1}$ and $y_i = a^{(i)}_{g,g}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq m$. Clearly, $Z_{u(\{1\})} = G$ and $\widehat{G} = \{\chi_+, \chi_-\}$, where $\chi_\pm(g) = \pm 1$. For any $0 \leq n \leq m$, put $\overrightarrow{\chi_n} \in (\hat{G})^m$ with $\chi^{(i)}_{n\{1\}} = \begin{cases} \chi_-, & \text{if } i > n; \\ \chi_+, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$*

Then $\{\mathrm{RSC}(G,r,\overrightarrow{\chi_n},u_n)\mid n=0,1,2,\cdots,m\}$ *are all non-isomorphic* RSC*'s. Thus by Theorem 3 we know that the path coalgebra* kQ^c *exactly admits* $m+1$ *distinct* PM *co-path Hopf algebra structures* $kQ^c(G,r,\overrightarrow{\chi_n},u_n)$, $0\le n\le m$, *up to graded Hopf algebra isomorphism. Now let* $0\le n\le m$. *Then by Proposition 1.9, the kG-actions on* $(kQ_1^c,G,r,\overrightarrow{\chi_n},u_n)$ *are given by* $g\cdot x_i=y_i$, $g\cdot y_i=x_i$, $1\le i\le m$; $x_i\cdot g=\begin{cases}-y_i, & \text{if } i>n,\\ y_i, & \text{otherwise,}\end{cases}$ $y_i\cdot g=\begin{cases}-x_i, & \text{if } i>n,\\ x_i, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$ *Thus by [CR1, p.245 or Theorem 3.8], the products of these arrows* x_i,y_j *in* $kQ^c(G,r,\overrightarrow{\chi_n},u_n)$ *can be described as follows. For any* $i,j=1,2,\cdots,m$, $x_i.x_j=x_ix_j+x_jx_i$, $y_i.x_j=y_iy_j+y_jy_i$, $x_i.y_j=\begin{cases}-(y_iy_j+y_jy_i) & , \text{ if } i>n,\\ y_iy_j+y_jy_i & , \text{ otherwise,}\end{cases}$ $y_i.y_j=\begin{cases}-(x_ix_j+x_jx_i) & , \text{ if } i>n,\\ x_ix_j+x_jx_i & , \text{ otherwise,}\end{cases}$ *where* $x.y$ *denotes the product of* x *and* y *in* $kQ^c(G,r,\overrightarrow{\chi_n},u_n)$ *for any* $x,y\in kQ^c(G,r,\overrightarrow{\chi_n},u_n)$, x_ix_j *and* y_iy_j *denote the* 2*-paths in the quiver* Q *as usual for any* $1\le i,j\le m$.

2 Multiple Taft algebras

In this section we discuss the PM quiver Hopf algebras determined by the RSC's with $\mathcal{K}_r(G)\subseteq Z(G)$. We give the classification of PM Nichols algebras and multiple Taft algebras by means of element system with characters when G is finite abelian group and k is the complex field.

Let r be a ramification data of G and (Q,G,r) be the corresponding Hopf quiver. If C contains only one element of G for any $C\in\mathcal{K}_r(G)$, then $C=\{g\}$ for some $g\in Z(G)$, the center of G. In this case, we say that the ramification r is *central*, and that $\mathrm{RSC}(G,r,\overrightarrow{\chi},u)$ *a central ramification system with characters*, or a CRSC in short. If $\mathrm{RSC}(G,r,\overrightarrow{\chi},u)$ is CRSC, then the PM co-path Hopf algebra $kQ^c(G,r,\overrightarrow{\chi},u)$ is called a *multiple crown algebra* and $kG[kQ_1^c,G,r,\overrightarrow{\chi},u]$ is called a *multiple Taft algebra*.

Definition 2.1. $(G,\overrightarrow{g},\overrightarrow{\chi},J)$ *is called an element system with characters* (*simply,* ESC) *if* G *is a group,* J *is a set,* $\overrightarrow{g}=\{g_i\}_{i\in J}\in Z(G)^J$ *and* $\overrightarrow{\chi}=\{\chi_i\}_{i\in J}\in\widehat{G}^J$ *with* $g_i\in Z(G)$ *and* $\chi_i\in\widehat{G}$. $\mathrm{ESC}(G,\overrightarrow{g},\overrightarrow{\chi},J)$ *and* $\mathrm{ESC}(G',\overrightarrow{g'},\overrightarrow{\chi'},J')$ *are said to be isomorphic if there exist a group isomorphism* $\phi:G\to G'$ *and a bijective map* $\sigma:J\to J'$ *such that* $\phi(g_i)=g'_{\sigma(i)}$ *and* $\chi'_{\sigma(i)}\phi=\chi_i$ *for any* $i\in J$.

$\mathrm{ESC}(G,\overrightarrow{g},\overrightarrow{\chi},J)$ can be written as $\mathrm{ESC}(G,g_i,\chi_i;i\in J)$ for convenience. Throughout this paper, let $q_{ji}:=\chi_i(g_j)$, $q_i=q_{ii}$ and N_i be the order of q_i ($N_i=\infty$ when q_i is not a root of unit, or $q_i=1$) for $i,j\in J$.

Let H be a Hopf algebra with a bijective antipode S. A YD H-module V is *pointed* if $V=0$ or V is a direct sum of one dimensional YD H-modules. If V is a pointed YD H-module, then the corresponding Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(V)$ is called a PM *Nichols algebra*.

Lemma 2.2. *Let (V,α^-,δ^-) be a* YD *kG-module. Then (V,α^-,δ^-) is a pointed* YD *kG-module if and only if (V,α^-) is a pointed (left) kG-module with $\delta^-(V)\subseteq kZ(G)\otimes V$.*

Lemma 2.3. *Assume that G is a finite abelian group of exponent m. If k contains a primitive m-th root of 1, then every* YD *kG-module is pointed and Nichols algebra of every* YD *kG -module is* PM.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 1.2 and Lemma 2.2. □

Let $(G,g_i,\chi_i;i\in J)$ be an ESC. Let V be a k-vector space with $\dim(V)=|J|$. Let $\{x_i\mid i\in J\}$ be a basis of V over k. Define a left kG-action and a left kG-coaction on V by

$$g\cdot x_i=\chi_i(g)x_i,\ \ \delta^-(x_i)=g_i\otimes x_i,\ \ i\in J,\ \ g\in G.$$

Then it is easy to see that V is a pointed YD kG-module and kx_i is a one dimensional YD kG-submodule of V for any $i\in J$. Denote by $V(G,g_i,\chi_i;i\in J)$ the pointed YD kG-module V. Note that $V(G,g_i,\chi_i;i\in J)=0$ if J is empty.

Proposition 2.4. *V is pointed* YD *kG-module if and only if V is isomorphic to $V(G,g_i,\chi_i;\ i\in J)$ for some* ESC *$(G,g_i,\chi_i;i\in J)$.*

Proof. If $V\cong V(G,g_i,\chi_i;i\in J)$ for some ESC $(G,g_i,\chi_i;i\in J)$ of G, then V is obviously a pointed YD kG-module. Conversely, assume that V is a nonzero pointed YD kG-module. By Lemma 2.2, $V=\bigoplus_{g\in Z(G)}V_g$ and $V_g=\{v\in V|\delta^-(v)=g\otimes v\}$ is a pointed YD kG-submodule of V for any $g\in Z(G)$. Let $g\in Z(G)$ with $V_g\neq 0$. Then V_g is a nonzero pointed kG-module. Hence there is a k-basis $\{x_i\mid i\in J_g\}$ such that kx_i is a kG-submodule of V_g for any $i\in J_g$. It follows that there is a character $\chi_i\in\widehat{G}$ for any $i\in J_g$ such that $h\cdot x_i=\chi_i(h)x_i$ for all $h\in G$. For any $i\in J_g$, put $g_i=g$. We may assume that these index sets J_g are disjoint, that is, $J_g\cap J_h=\emptyset$ for any $g\neq h$ in $Z(G)$ with $V_g\neq 0$ and $V_h\neq 0$. Now let J be the union of all the J_g with $g\in Z(G)$ and $V_g\neq 0$. Then one can see that $(G,g_i,\chi_i;i\in J)$ is an ESC of G, and that V is isomorphic to $V(G,g_i,\chi_i;i\in J)$ as a YD kG-module. □

Now we give the relation between RSC and ESC. Assume that $(G,g_i,\chi_i;i\in J)$ is an ESC of G. We define a binary relation $\sim$ on J by

$$i\sim j\Leftrightarrow g_i=g_j,$$

where $i,j\in J$. Clearly, this is an equivalence relation. Denote by $J/\!\sim$ the quotient set of J modulo $\sim$. For any $i\in J$, let $[i]$ denote the equivalence class containing i. That is, $[i]:=\{j\in J\mid j\sim i\}$. Choose a subset $\bar{J}\subseteq J$ such that the assignment $i\mapsto[i]$ is a bijective map from $\bar{J}$ to $J/\!\sim$. That is, $\bar{J}$ is a set of representative elements of the equivalence class. Then $J=\bigcup_{i\in\bar{J}}[i]$ is a disjoint union. Let $r_{\{g_i\}}=|[i]|$ for any $i\in\bar{J}$. Then $r=\sum_{i\in\bar{J}}r_{\{g_i\}}\{g_i\}$ is a central ramification of G

with $I_{\{g_i\}}(r) = [i]$ for $i \in \bar{J}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{K}_r(G) = \{\{g_i\} \mid i \in \bar{J}\}$. Put $\chi^{(j)}_{\{g_i\}} := \chi_j$ for any $i \in \bar{J}$ and $j \in [i]$. We obtain an CRSC, written CRSC$(G, r(g_i, \chi_i; i \in J), \overrightarrow{\chi}(g_i, \chi_i; i \in J), u)$. Let (Q, G, r) be the corresponding Hopf quiver with $r = r(g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$ and denote by $(kQ_1^c, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$ the kG-Hopf bimodule $(kQ_1^c, G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u)$. Denote by $kQ^c(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$ and $kG[kQ_1^c, G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J]$ the corresponding multiple crown algebra $kQ^c(G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u)$ and multiple Taft algebra $kG[kQ_1^c, G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u]$, respectively. We also denote by $kQ^a(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$, $kQ^{sc}(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$, $kQ^s(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$ and $(kG)^*[kQ_1^a, G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J]$ the corresponding path Hopf algebra $kQ^a(G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u)$, semi-copath Hopf algebra $kQ^{sc}(G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u)$, semi-path Hopf algebra $kQ^s(G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u)$ and one-type-path Hopf algebra $(kG)^*[kQ_1^a, G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u]$, respectively.

Conversely, assume that $(G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u)$ is a CRSC. We may assume $I_{\{g\}}(r) \cap I_{\{h\}}(r) = \emptyset$ for any $\{g\} \neq \{h\}$ in $\mathcal{K}_r(G)$. Let $J := \bigcup_{\{g\} \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)} I_{\{g\}}(r)$. For any $i \in J$, put $g_i := g$ and $\chi_i := \chi^{(i)}_{\{g\}}$ if $i \in I_{\{g\}}(r)$ with $\{g\} \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$. We obtain an ESC, written ESC$(G, \overrightarrow{g}(r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u), \overrightarrow{\chi}(r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u), J)$.

From now on, assume $I_{\{g\}}(r) \cap I_{\{h\}}(r) = \emptyset$ for any $\{g\}, \{h\} \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$ with $\{g\} \neq \{h\}$. Note that, in two cases above, for any $i, j \in I_{\{g\}}(r)$, we have

$$g_i = g_j = g, \quad a^{(j)}_{g_i,1} = a^{(j)}_{g_j,1}, \quad \chi^{(j)}_{\{g_i\}} = \chi^{(j)}_{\{g_j\}} = \chi_j. \tag{2.1}$$

Throughout this paper, let $E_j := a^{(j)}_{g_j,1}$ for any $j \in J$.

Proposition 2.5. CRSC$(G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u)$ $\cong$ CRSC$(G', r', \overrightarrow{\chi'}, u')$ *if and only if* ESC$(G, \overrightarrow{g}(r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u), \overrightarrow{\chi}(r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u), J)$ $\cong$ ESC$(G', \overrightarrow{g'}(r', \overrightarrow{\chi'}, u'), \overrightarrow{\chi'}(r', \overrightarrow{\chi'}, u'), J')$.

Proof. We use notations above. If CRSC$(G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u) \cong$ CRSC$(G', r', \overrightarrow{\chi}', u')$, then there exists a group isomorphism $\phi : G \to G'$; for any $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$, there exists a bijective map $\phi_C : I_C(r) \to I_{\phi(C)}(r')$ such that $\chi'^{(\phi_C(i))}_{\phi(C)} \phi = \chi^{(i)}_C$. Let σ be the bijection from J to J' such that $\sigma(i) = \phi_C(i)$ for any $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$, $i \in I_C(r)$. It is clear that $\phi(g_j) = g'_{\sigma(j)}$ and $\chi'_{\sigma(j)}\phi = \chi_j$ for any $j \in J$. Thus ESC$(G, \overrightarrow{g}(r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u), \overrightarrow{\chi}(r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u), J) \cong$ ESC$(G', \overrightarrow{g'}(r', \overrightarrow{\chi'}, u'), \overrightarrow{\chi'}(r', \overrightarrow{\chi'}, u'), J')$.

Conversely, if ESC$(G, \overrightarrow{g}(r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u), \overrightarrow{\chi}(r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u), J) \cong$ ESC$(G', \overrightarrow{g'}(r', \overrightarrow{\chi'}, u'), \overrightarrow{\chi'}(r', \overrightarrow{\chi'}, u'), J')$, then there exist a group isomorphism $\phi : G \to G'$, a bijective map $\sigma : J \to J'$ such that $\phi(g_j) = g'_{\sigma(j)}$ and $\chi'_{\sigma(j)}\phi = \chi_j$ for any $j \in J$. For any $C = \{g_i\} \in \mathcal{K}_r(G), j \in I_C(r)$, we define $\phi_C = \sigma \mid_{I_C(r)}$ and have

$$\chi'^{(\phi_C(j))}_{\phi(C)} \phi \;=\; \chi'^{(\sigma(j))}_{g'_{\sigma(i)}} \phi = \chi'_{\sigma(j)} \phi = \chi_j = \chi^{(j)}_C. \quad \Box$$

If $(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$ is an ESC, then $(kQ_1^c, G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$ is a kG-Hopf bimodule with module operations α^- and α^+. Define a new left kG-action on kQ_1 by

$$g \rhd x := g \cdot x \cdot g^{-1}, \; g \in G, x \in kQ_1,$$

where $g \cdot x = \alpha^-(g \otimes x)$ and $x \cdot g = \alpha^+(x \otimes g)$ for any $g \in G$ and $x \in kQ_1$. With this left kG-action and the original left (arrow) kG-coaction δ^-, kQ_1 is a YD kG-module. Let $Q_1^1 := \{a \in Q_1 \mid s(a) = 1\}$. It is clear that kQ_1^1 is a YD kG-submodule of kQ_1, denoted by $(kQ_1^1, ad(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J))$.

Lemma 2.6. *$(kQ_1^1, ad(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J))$ and $V(G, g_i, \chi_i^{-1}; i \in J)$ are isomorphic* YD *kG-modules.*

Proof. By definition, $V(G, g_i, \chi_i^{-1}; i \in J)$ has a k-basis $\{x_i \mid i \in J\}$ such that $\delta^-(x_i) = g_i \otimes x_i$ and $g \cdot x_i = \chi_i^{-1}(g)x_i$ for all $i \in J$ and $g \in G$. By Proposition 1.9, for any $j \in J$, we have that $g \triangleright a_{g_j,1}^{(j)} = \chi_j(g^{-1})a_{g_j,1}^{(j)}$ and $\delta^-(a_{g_j,1}^{(j)}) = g_j \otimes a_{g_j,1}^{(j)}$. It follows that there is a YD kG-module isomorphism from $(kQ_1^1, ad(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J))$ to $V(G, g_i, \chi_i^{-1}; i \in J)$ given by $a_{g_j,1}^{(j)} \mapsto x_j$ for any $j \in J$. $\square$

Lemma 2.7. *Let B and B' be two Hopf algebras with bijective antipodes. Let V be a* YD *B-module. Assume that there is a Hopf algebra isomorphism $\phi : B' \to B$. Then ${}_{\phi}^{\phi^{-1}}\mathcal{B}(V) \cong \mathcal{B}({}_{\phi}^{\phi^{-1}}V)$ as graded braided Hopf algebras in ${}_{B'}^{B'}\mathcal{YD}$.*

Theorem 4. *Assume that $(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$ and $(G', g_i', \chi_i'; i \in J')$ are two* ESC*'s. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $\mathrm{ESC}(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J) \cong \mathrm{ESC}(G', g_i', \chi_i'; i \in J')$.

(ii) $\mathrm{CRSC}(G, r(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J), \overrightarrow{\chi}(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J), u) \cong \mathrm{CRSC}(G', r'(G', g_i', \chi_i'; i \in J'), \overrightarrow{\chi'}(G', g_i', \chi_i'; i \in J'), u')$.

(iii) *There is a Hopf algebra isomorphism $\phi : kG \to kG'$ such that $V(G, g_i, \chi_i;\ i \in J) \cong {}_{\phi}^{\phi^{-1}}V'(G'g_i', \chi_i';\ i \in J')$ as* YD *kG-modules.*

(iv) *There is a Hopf algebra isomorphism $\phi : kG \to kG'$ such that $\mathcal{B}(V(G, g_i, \chi_i;\ i \in J)) \cong {}_{\phi}^{\phi^{-1}}\mathcal{B}(V'(G', g_i', \chi_i';\ i \in J'))$ as graded braided Hopf algebras in ${}_{kG}^{kG}\mathcal{Y}D$.*

(v) *There is a Hopf algebra isomorphism $\phi : kG \to kG'$ such that $(kQ_1^1, ad(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)) \cong {}_{\phi}^{\phi^{-1}}(kQ'^1_1, ad(G', g_i', \chi_i'; i \in J'))$ as* YD *kG-modules.*

(vi) $kG[kQ_1^c, G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J] \cong kG'[kQ'^c_1, G', g_i', \chi_i'; i \in J']$.

Proof. We use he notations before Proposition 2.5.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). There exist a group isomorphism $\phi : G \to G'$ and a bijective map $\sigma : J \to J'$ such that $\phi(g_j) = g'_{\sigma(j)}$ and $\chi'_{\sigma(j)}\phi = \chi_j$ for any $j \in J$. For any $C = \{g_i\} \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$ and $j \in I_C(r)$, we have $g_i = g_j$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_C^{(j)} = \chi_{\{g_i\}}^{(j)} &= \chi_j = \chi'_{\sigma(j)}\phi \\ &= {\chi'}_{\{g'_{\sigma(i)}\}}^{(\sigma(j))}\phi = {\chi'}_{\{\phi(g_i)\}}^{(\phi_{g_i}(j))}\phi = {\chi'}_{\phi(C)}^{(\phi_C(j))}\phi\ . \end{aligned}$$

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). There is a group isomorphism $\phi : G \to G'$ and a bijection $\phi_C :\ I_C(r) \to I_{\phi(C)}(r')$ such that ${\chi'}_{\phi(C)}^{\phi_C(j)}\phi = \chi_C^{(j)}$ for any $C = \{g_i\} \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$, $j \in I_C(r)$. Define a map

$\sigma : \ J \to J'$ such that $\sigma \mid_{I_C(r)} = \phi_C$ for any $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$. Thus σ is bijective. For any $C = \{g_i\} \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$ and $j \in I_{\{g_i\}}(r)$, we have $\phi(g_j) = \phi(g_i) = g'_{\sigma(j)}$ and $\chi'_{\sigma(j)}\phi = \chi'_{\phi_{\{g_i\}}(j)}\phi$ $= {\chi'}^{(\phi_{\{g_i\}}(j))}_{\phi(\{g_i\})}\phi = \chi^{(j)}_{\{g_i\}} = \chi_j$. This shows that $\mathrm{ESC}(G, \overrightarrow{g}, \overrightarrow{\chi}, J) \cong \mathrm{ESC}(G', \overrightarrow{g'}, \overrightarrow{\chi'}, J')$.

(i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii). Let $V := V(G, g_i, \chi_i;\, i \in J)$ and $V' := V'(G'g'_i, \chi'_i;\, i \in J')$. By definition V has a k-basis $\{x_i \mid i \in J\}$ such that $g \cdot x_i = \chi_i(g)x_i$ and $\delta^-(x_i) = g_i \otimes x_i$ for any $i \in J$ and $g \in G$. Similarly, V' has a k-basis $\{y_j \mid j \in J'\}$ such that $h \cdot y_j = \chi'_j(h)y_j$ and $\delta^-(y_j) = g'_j \otimes y_j$ for all $j \in J'$ and $h \in G'$.

Assume $\mathrm{ESC}(G, \overrightarrow{g}, \overrightarrow{\chi}, J) \cong \mathrm{ESC}(G', \overrightarrow{g'}, \overrightarrow{\chi'}, J')$. Then there is a group isomorphism $\phi : G \to G'$ and a bijective map $\sigma : J \to J'$ such that $\phi(g_i) = g'_{\sigma(i)}$ and $\chi'_{\sigma(i)}\phi = \chi_i$ for any $i \in J$. Hence $\phi : kG \to kG'$ is a Hopf algebra isomorphism. Define a k-linear isomorphism $\psi : V \to V'$ by $\psi(x_i) = y_{\sigma(i)}$ for any $i \in J$. Then it is straightforward to check that ψ is a YD kG-module homomorphism from V to ${}_{\phi}^{\phi^{-1}}V'$.

Conversely, assume that $\phi : kG \to kG'$ is a Hopf algebra isomorphism and $\psi : V \to {}_{\phi}^{\phi^{-1}}V'$ is a YD kG-module isomorphism. Then $\phi : G \to G'$ is a group isomorphism. We use the notations in the proof of Lemma 2.2 and the notations above. Then $\psi(V_g) = V'_{\phi(g)}$ for any $g \in G$. Since $V = \bigoplus_{i \in \bar{J}} V_{g_i}$ and $V' = \bigoplus_{i \in \bar{J}'} V_{g'_i}$, there is a bijection $\tau : \bar{J} \to \bar{J}'$ such that $\psi(V_{g_i}) = V'_{g'_{\tau(i)}}$ for any $i \in \bar{J}$. This shows that $\phi(g_i) = g'_{\tau(i)}$ and $V_{g_i} \cong {}_{\phi}(V'_{g'_{\tau(i)}})$ as left kG-modules for any $i \in \bar{J}$. However, V_{g_i} is a pointed kG-module and kx_j is its one dimensional submodule for any $j \in [i]$. Similarly, $V_{g'_{\tau(i)}}$ is a pointed kG'-module and ky_j is its one dimensional submodule for any $j \in [\tau(i)]$. Hence there is a bijection $\phi_{\{g_i\}} : [i] \to [\tau(i)]$ for any $i \in \bar{J}$ such that kx_j and ${}_{\phi}(ky_{\phi_{\{g_i\}}(j)})$ are isomorphic kG-modules for all $j \in [i]$. This implies that $\chi_j = \chi'_{\phi_{\{g_i\}}(j)}\phi$ for all $j \in [i]$ and $i \in \bar{J}$. Then the same argument as in the proof of (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) shows that $\mathrm{ESC}(G, \overrightarrow{g}, \overrightarrow{\chi}, J) \cong \mathrm{ESC}(G', \overrightarrow{g'}, \overrightarrow{\chi'}, J')$. (iii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv) It follows from Lemma 2.7. (iii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (v) It follows from Lemma 2.6. (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (vi) It follows from Theorem 3. □

Up to now we have classified Nichols algebras and YD modules over finite abelian group and the complex field up to isomorphisms, which are under means of Theorem 4 (iv)(iii), respectively. In fact, we can explain these facts above by introducing some new concepts about isomorphisms. For convenience, if B is a Hopf algebra and M is a B-Hopf bimodule, then we say that (B, M) is a Hopf bimodules. For any two Hopf bimodules (B, M) and (B', M'), if ϕ is a Hopf algebra homomorphism from B to B' and ψ is simultaneously a B-bimodule homomorphism from M to ${}_{\phi}M'_{\phi}$ and a B'-bicomodule homomorphism from ${}^{\phi}M^{\phi}$ to M', then (ϕ, ψ) is called a pull-push Hopf bimodule homomorphism. Similarly, we say that (B, M) and (B, X) are a YD module and a YD Hopf algebra if M is a YD B-module and X is a braided Hopf algebra in Yetter-Drinfeld category ${}^{B}_{B}\mathcal{YD}$, respectively. For any two YD modules (B, M) and (B', M'), if ϕ is a Hopf algebra homomorphism from B to B', and ψ is simultaneously a left B-module homomorphism from M to ${}_{\phi}M'$ and a left B'-comodule homomorphism from ${}^{\phi}M$ to M', then (ϕ, ψ) is called a pull-push YD module homomorphism. For any two YD Hopf algebra (B, X) and (B', X'), if ϕ is a Hopf algebra homomorphism from B to B', ψ is simultaneously a left B-module homomorphism from X to ${}_{\phi}X'$ and a left B'-comodule homomorphism from ${}^{\phi}X$ to X', meantime, ψ also is algebra and coalgebra homomorphism from X to X', then (ϕ, ψ) is called a pull-push YD Hopf algebra homomorphism.

Consequently, we have classified Nichols algebras over finite abelian group and the complex field up to pull-push graded YD Hopf algebra isomorphisms and YD modules over finite abelian group and the complex field up to pull-push YD module isomorphisms, respectively. In other words, element systems with characters uniquely determine their corresponding Nichols algebras and YD modules up to their isomorphisms.

3 The relation between quiver Hopf algebras and quotients of free algebras

In this section we show that the diagram of a quantum weakly commutative multiple Taft algebra is not only a Nichols algebra but also a quantum linear space in ${}^{kG}_{kG}\mathcal{YD}$; the diagram of a semi-path Hopf algebra of ESC is a quantum tensor algebra in ${}^{kG}_{kG}\mathcal{YD}$; the quantum enveloping algebra of a complex semisimple Lie algebra is a quotient of a semi-path Hopf algebra.

3.1 The structure of multiple Taft algebras and semi-path Hopf algebras

Assume that $H = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} H_{(i)}$ is a graded Hopf algebra with invertible antipode S. Let $B = H_{(0)}$, and let $\pi_0 : H \to H_{(0)} = B$ and $\iota_0 : B = H_{(0)} \to H$ denote the canonical projection and injection. Set $\omega := id_H * (\iota_0\pi_0 S) : H \to H$. Then it is clear that (H, δ^+, α^+) is a right B-Hopf module with $\delta^+ := (\mathrm{id} \otimes \pi_0)\Delta$ and $\alpha^+ := \mu(\mathrm{id} \otimes \iota_0)$. Let $R := H^{coB} := \{h \in H \mid \delta^+(h) = h\otimes 1\}$, which is a graded subspace of H. Then it is known that $R = \mathrm{Im}(\omega)$ and $\Delta(R) \subseteq H \otimes R$. Hence R is a left coideal subalgebra of H, and so R is a left H-comodule algebra. It is well known that R is a graded braided Hopf algebra in ${}^{B}_{B}\mathcal{Y}D$ with the same multiplication, unit and counit as in H, the comultiplication $\Delta_R = (\omega \otimes id)\Delta$, where the left B-action α_R and left B-coaction δ_R on R are given by

$$\alpha_R(b \otimes x) = b \rightharpoonup_{ad} x = \sum b_{(1)}xS(b_{(2)}),\ \delta_R^-(x) = \sum \pi_0(x_{(1)}) \otimes x_{(2)},\ b \in B,\ x \in R \quad (3.1)$$

(see the proof of [Ra, Theorem 3]). R is called the diagram of H, written $diag(H)$. Note that diagram R of H is dependent on the gradation of H. By [Ra, Theorem 1], the biproduct of R and B is a Hopf algebra, written $R^{\delta_R}_{\alpha_R}\#B$, or $R\#B$ in short. The biproduct

$R^{\delta_R}_{\alpha_R}\#B$ is also called the bosonization of R. Furthermore, we have the following well known result.

Theorem 5. (*see [Ni, p.1530], [AS1] and [Ra]*) *Under notations above, if* $H=\bigoplus_{i\geq 0}H_{(i)}$ *is a graded Hopf algebra, then* R *is a graded braided Hopf algebra in* ${}^B_B\mathcal{Y}D$ *and* $R\#B\cong H$ *as graded Hopf algebras, where the isomorphism is* $\alpha^+ := \mu_H(id_H\otimes \iota_0)$.

Remark: If A be a Hopf algebra whose coradical A_0 is a Hopf subalgebra, then it is clear that $H := grA$ is a graded Hopf algebra. The diagram of H with respect to gradation of grA is called the diagram of A in [AS2, Introduction].

Lemma 3.1. *(i) Assume that* H *and* H' *are two graded Hopf algebras with* $B=H_{(0)}$ *and* $B'=H'_{(0)}$. *Then* $H\cong H'$ *as graded Hopf algebras if and only if there exists a Hopf algebra isomorphism* $\phi : B\to B'$ *such that* $diag(H)\cong {}^{\phi^{-1}}_{\phi}diag(H')$ *as* YD B*-modules and as graded braided Hopf algebras in* ${}^B_B\mathcal{YD}$.

(ii) Let B *and* B' *be two Hopf algebras. Let* M *and* M' *be* B*-Hopf bimodule and* B'*-Hopf bimodule, respectively. Then* $B[M]\cong B'[M']$ *as graded Hopf algebras if and only if there exists a Hopf algebra isomorphism* $\phi : B\to B'$ *such that* $diag(B[M])\cong {}^{\phi^{-1}}_{\phi}diag(B'[M'])$ *as* YD B*-modules and as graded braided Hopf algebras in* ${}^B_B\mathcal{YD}$.

Proof. (i) Assume that ξ is a graded Hopf algebra isomorphism from H to H'. Let $R:=diag(H)$, $R':=diag(H')$, $\phi:=\xi\mid_B$ and $\psi:=\xi\mid_R$. It is easy to check that ψ is the map required.

Conversely, by Theorem 5, $R\#B\cong H$ and $R'\#B'\cong H'$ as graded Hopf algebras. Let ξ be a linear map from $R\#B$ to $R'\#B'$ by sending $r\#b$ to $\psi(r)\#\phi(b)$ for any $r\in R$, $b\in B$. Let ν be a linear map from $R'\#B'$ to $R\#B$ by sending $r'\#b'$ to $\psi^{-1}(r')\#\phi^{-1}(b')$ for any $r'\in R'$, $b'\in B'$. Obviously, ν is the inverse of ξ. Since ψ is graded, so is ξ.

Now we show that ξ is an algebra homomorphism. For any $r,r'\in R, b,b'\in B$, see

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi\mu_{R\#B}((r\#b)\otimes(r'\#b')) &= \psi(r(b_{(1)}\cdot r'))\#\phi(b_{(2)}b')\\
&= \psi(r)(\phi(b_{(1)})\cdot\psi(r'))\#\phi(b_{(2)})\phi(b)\\
&\qquad \text{(since } \psi \text{ is a pullback module homomorphism}\\
&\qquad \text{ and an algebra homomorphism.)}\\
&= \mu_{R'\#B'}(\xi(r\#b)\otimes\xi(r'\#b')).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show that ξ is a coalgebra homomorphism.

(ii) It follows from (i). □

Lemma 3.2. *(i)* $(kQ^c(G,r,\overrightarrow{\chi},u))^{co\ kG} = span\{\beta\mid \beta \text{ is a path with } s(\beta)=1\}$. *(ii)* $(kG[kQ_1^c,\, G,r,\overrightarrow{\chi},u])^{co\ kG}$ *is the subalgebra of* $kG[kQ_1^c,G,r,\overrightarrow{\chi},u]$ *generated by* Q_1^1 *as algebras. (iii)* $(kG[kQ_1^c,\, G,r,\overrightarrow{\chi},u])^{co\ kG}\#kG\cong kG[kQ_1^c,G,r,\overrightarrow{\chi},u]$ *as graded Hopf algebra* *isomorphism. (iv)* $(kQ^s(G,r,\overrightarrow{\chi},u))^{co\ kG}$ *is the subalgebra of* $kG^s(G,r,\overrightarrow{\chi},u)$ *generated by* Q_1^1 *as algebras.*

Proof. (i) For a path β, see that

$$\delta^+(\beta) = (id\otimes \pi_0)\Delta(\beta) \ \ = \ \ \beta\otimes s(\beta).$$

This implies $(kQ^c)^{co\ kG} = span\{\beta \mid \beta \text{ is a path with } s(\beta)=1\}$.

(ii) Since every path generated by arrows in Q_1^1 is of start vertex 1, this path belongs to $(kG[kQ_1^c,G,r,\overrightarrow{\chi},u])^{co\ kG}$. Let $R := (kG[kQ_1^c,G,r,\overrightarrow{\chi},u])^{co\ kG}$ and $A :=$ the subalgebra of $kG[kQ_1^c,G,r,\overrightarrow{\chi},u]$ generated by Q_1^1 as algebras. Obviously, $A\subseteq R$. It is clear that $\alpha^+(R\#kG) = \alpha^+(A\#kG) = kG[kQ_1^c,G,r,\overrightarrow{\chi},u]$ and α^+ is injective. Thus $R\#kG = A\#kG$ and $R=A$.

(iii) It follows from Theorem 5.

(iv) We first show $(kQ^s)^{co\ kG} = span\{\beta \mid \beta = 1, \text{or } \beta = \beta_n\otimes_{kG}\beta_{n-1}\otimes_{kG}\cdots\otimes_{kG}\beta_1$ with $\prod_{i=1}^n s(\beta_i)=1$ and $\beta_i\in Q_1,\ i=1,2,\cdots,n; n\in\mathbb{Z}^+\}$. Indeed, obviously right hand side $\subseteq$ the left hand side. For any $\beta = \beta_n\otimes_{kG}\beta_{n-1}\otimes_{kG}\cdots\otimes_{kG}\beta_1$ with $\beta_i\in Q_1$, called a monomial, define $s(\beta)=\prod_{i=1}^n s(\beta_i)$. For any $0\neq u\in (kQ^s)^{co\ kG}$ with $u\notin kG$, there exist linearly independent monomials $u_1,u_2,\cdots,u_n$ such that $u=\sum_{i=1}^n b_iu_i$ with $0\neq b_i\in k$ for $i=1,2,\cdots n$. See $\delta^+(u)=\sum_{i=1}^n b_iu_i\otimes s(u_i) = u\otimes 1$. Consequently, $s(u_i)=1$ for $i=1,2,\cdots,n$. This implies that u belongs to the right hand side.

For any $\beta = \beta_n\otimes_{kG}\beta_{n-1}\otimes_{kG}\cdots\otimes_{kG}\beta_1$with $\prod_{i=1}^n s(\beta_i)=1$ and $\beta_i\in Q_1,\ i=1,2,\cdots,n$, we show that β can be written as multiplication of arrows in Q_1^1 by induction. When $n=1$, it is clear. For $n>1$, see $\beta = \beta_n\otimes_{kG}\beta_{n-1}\otimes_{kG}\cdots\otimes_{kG}\beta_2\cdot s(\beta_1)\otimes_{kG}(s(\beta_1)^{-1}\cdot\beta_1)$. Thus β can be written as multiplication of arrows in Q_1^1. Consequently, we complete the proof of (iv). □

Recall that a braided algebra A in braided tensor category $(\mathcal{C},C)$ with braiding C is said to be braided commutative or quantum commutative, if $ab=\mu C(a\otimes b)$ for any $a,b\in A$. An ESC$(G,g_i,\chi_i;i\in J)$ is said to be quantum commutative if

$$\chi_i(g_j)\chi_j(g_i)=1$$

for any $i,j\in J$. An ESC$(G,g_i,\chi_i;i\in J)$ is said to be quantum weakly commutative if

$$\chi_i(g_j)\chi_j(g_i)=1$$

for any $i,j\in J$ with $i\neq j$.

Lemma 3.3. *(i)* ESC$(G,g_i,\chi_i;i\in J)$ *is quantum weakly commutative if and only if in* $diag(kG[kQ_1^c,G,g_i,\chi_i;i\in J])$,

$$E_i\cdot E_j = \chi_j(g_i^{-1})E_j\cdot E_i \tag{3.2}$$

for any $i, j \in J$ with $i \neq j$.

(ii) $diag(kG[kQ_1^c, G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J])$ is quantum commutative in ${}^{kG}_{kG}\mathcal{YD}$ if and only if $\mathrm{ESC}(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$ *is quantum commutative.*

For any positive integers m and n, let

$$D_n^{n+m} = \{d = (d_{n+m}, d_{n+m-1}, \cdots, d_1) \mid d_i = 0 \text{ or } 1, \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} d_i = n\}.$$

Let $d \in D_n^{n+m}$ and let $A = a_n a_{n-1} \cdots a_1 \in Q_n$ be an n-path. We define a sequence $dA = ((dA)_{n+m}, \cdots, (dA)_1)$ by

$$(dA)_i = \begin{cases} t(a_{d(i)}) & , \quad \text{if } d_i = 0; \\ a_{d(i)} & , \quad \text{if } d_i = 1, \end{cases}$$

where $1 \leq i \leq n+m$ and $d(i) = \sum_{j=1}^{i} d_j$. Such a sequence dA is called an $n+m$-thin splits of the n-path A. Note that if $d(i) = 0$ then we regard $t(a_{d(i)}) = s(a_1)$, since $s(a_{d(i)+1}) = s(a_1)$ in this case.

If $0 \neq q \in k$ and $0 \leq i \leq n < ord(q)$ (the order of q), we set $(0)_q! = 1$,

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} n \\ i \end{array}\right)_q = \frac{(n)_q!}{(i)_q!(n-i)_q!}, \quad \text{where } (n)_q! = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} (i)_q, \quad (n)_q = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}.$$

In particular, $(n)_q = n$ when $q = 1$.

Lemma 3.4. *In $kQ^c(G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u)$, assume $\{g\} \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$ and $j \in I_{\{g\}}(r)$. Let $q := \chi_{\{g\}}^{(j)}(g)$. If $i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_m$ be non-negative integers, then*

$$a^{(j)}_{g^{i_m+1}, g^{i_m}} \cdot a^{(j)}_{g^{i_{m-1}+1}, g^{i_{m-1}}} \cdot \cdots \cdot a^{(j)}_{g^{i_1+1}, g^{i_1}} \quad = \quad q^{\beta_m} (m)_q! P^{(j)}_{g^{\alpha_m}}(g, m)$$

where $\alpha_m = i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_m$, $P_h^{(j)}(g, m) = a^{(j)}_{g^m h, g^{m-1}h} a^{(j)}_{g^{m-1}h, g^{m-2}h} \cdots a^{(j)}_{gh, h}$, $\beta_1 = 0$ and $\beta_m = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_j)$ if $m > 1$.

Proof. We prove the equality by induction on m. For $m = 1$, it is easy to see that the equality holds. Now suppose $m > 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& a^{(j)}_{g^{i_m+1},g^{i_m}} \cdot a^{(j)}_{g^{i_{m-1}+1},g^{i_{m-1}}} \cdot \cdots \cdot a^{(j)}_{g^{i_1+1},g^{i_1}} \\
= \ & a^{(j)}_{g^{i_m+1},g^{i_m}} \cdot (a^{(j)}_{g^{i_{m-1}+1},g^{i_{m-1}}} \cdot \cdots \cdot a^{(j)}_{g^{i_1+1},g^{i_1}}) \\
= \ & q^{\beta_{m-1}}(m-1)_q! a^{(j)}_{g^{i_m+1},g^{i_m}} \cdot P^{(j)}_{g^{\alpha_{m-1}}}(g, m-1) \quad \text{(by inductive assumption)} \\
= \ & q^{\beta_{m-1}}(m-1)_q! a^{(j)}_{g^{i_m+1},g^{i_m}} \cdot (a^{(j)}_{g^{\alpha_{m-1}+m-1},g^{\alpha_{m-1}+m-2}} \cdots a^{(j)}_{g^{\alpha_{m-1}+1},g^{\alpha_{m-1}}}) \\
= \ & q^{\beta_{m-1}}(m-1)_q! \textstyle\sum_{l=1}^{m} [(g^{i_m+1} \cdot a^{(j)}_{g^{\alpha_{m-1}+m-1},g^{\alpha_{m-1}+m-2}}) \cdots (g^{i_m+1} \cdot a^{(j)}_{g^{\alpha_{m-1}+l},g^{\alpha_{m-1}+l-1}}) \\
& (a^{(j)}_{g^{i_m+1},g^{i_m}} \cdot g^{\alpha_{m-1}+l-1})(g^{i_m} \cdot a^{(j)}_{g^{\alpha_{m-1}+l-1},g^{\alpha_{m-1}+l-2}}) \cdots (g^{i_m} \cdot a^{(j)}_{g^{\alpha_{m-1}+1},g^{\alpha_{m-1}}})] \\
& \quad \text{(by [CR1, Theorem 3.8])} \\
= \ & q^{\beta_{m-1}}(m-1)_q! \textstyle\sum_{l=1}^{m} [a^{(j)}_{g^{\alpha_m+m},g^{\alpha_m+m-1}} \cdots a^{(j)}_{g^{\alpha_m+l+1},g^{\alpha_m+l}} \\
& (\chi^{(j)}_{\{g\}}(g^{\alpha_{m-1}+l-1}) a^{(j)}_{g^{\alpha_m+l},g^{\alpha_m+l-1}}) a^{(j)}_{g^{\alpha_m+l-1},g^{\alpha_m+l-2}} \cdots a^{(j)}_{g^{\alpha_m+1},g^{\alpha_m}}] \ \ (\text{by } Proposition 1.9) \\
= \ & q^{\beta_{m-1}}(m-1)_q! \textstyle\sum_{l=1}^{m} q^{\alpha_{m-1}+l-1} P^{(j)}_{g^{\alpha_m}}(g, m) \\
= \ & q^{\beta_{m-1}+\alpha_{m-1}}(m)_q! P^{(j)}_{g^{\alpha_m}}(g, m) \\
= \ & q^{\beta_m}(m)_q! P^{(j)}_{g^{\alpha_m}}(g, m). \ \ \Box
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.5. *(See [AS2, Lemma 3.3]) Let B be a Hopf algebra and R a braided Hopf algebra in ${}^B_B\mathcal{YD}$ with a linearly independent set $\{x_1 \dots, x_t\} \subseteq P(R)$. Assume that there exist $g_j \in G(B)$ (the set of all group-like elements in B) and $\chi_j \in Alg(B, k)$ such that*

$$\delta(x_j) = g_j \otimes x_j, \ h \cdot x_j = \chi_j(h) x_j, \ \text{for all } h \in B, j = 1, 2, \cdots, t.$$

Then

$$\{x_1^{m_1} x_2^{m_2} \cdots x_t^{m_t} \mid 0 \leq m_j < N_j, 1 \leq j \leq t\}.$$

is linearly independent, where N_i is the order of $q_i := \chi_i(g_i)$ ($N_i = \infty$ when q_i is not a root of unit, or $q_i = 1$) for $1 \leq i \leq t$.

Assume that $(G, g_i, \chi_i; j \in J)$ is an ESC. Let $\mathcal{T}(G, g_i, \chi_i; j \in J)$ be the free algebra generated by set $\{x_j \mid j \in J\}$. Let $\mathcal{S}(G, g_i, \chi_i; j \in J)$ be the algebra generated by set $\{x_j \mid j \in J\}$ with relations

$$x_i x_j = \chi_j(g_i) x_j x_i \quad \text{for any } i, j \in J \text{ with } i \neq j. \tag{3.3}$$

Let $\mathcal{R}(G, g_i, \chi_i; j \in J)$ be the algebra generated by set $\{x_j \mid j \in J\}$ with relations

$$x_l^{N_l} = 0, \ x_i x_j = \chi_j(g_i) x_j x_i \quad \text{for any } i, j, l \in J \text{ with } N_l < \infty, i \neq j. \tag{3.4}$$

Define their coalgebra operations and kG-(co-)module operations as follows:

$$\Delta x_i = x_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_i, \quad \epsilon(x_i) = 0, \quad \delta^-(x_i) = g_i \otimes x_i, \qquad h \cdot x_i = \chi_i(h) x_i. \tag{3.5}$$

$\mathcal{T}(G, g_i, \chi_i; j \in J)$ is called a quantum tensor algebra in ${}^{kG}_{kG}\mathcal{YD}$, $\mathcal{S}(G, g_i, \chi_i; j \in J)$ is called a quantum symmetric algebra in ${}^{kG}_{kG}\mathcal{YD}$ and $\mathcal{R}(G, g_i, \chi_i; j \in J)$ is called a quantum linear space in ${}^{kG}_{kG}\mathcal{YD}$. Note that when $\mathrm{ESC}(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$ is quantum weakly commutative with finite J and finite N_j for any $j \in J$, the definition of quantum linear space is the same as in [AS2, Lemma 3.4]. Obviously, if N_i is infinite for all $i \in J$, then $\mathcal{S}(G, g_i, \chi_i; j \in J) = \mathcal{R}(G, g_i, \chi_i; j \in J)$.

Theorem 6. *Assume that* $\mathrm{ESC}(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$ *is quantum weakly commutative. Let* $\prec$ *be a total order of* J. *Then*

(i) *The multiple Taft algebra* $kG[kQ_1^c, G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J]$ *has a* k*-basis*

$$\{g \cdot E_{\nu_1}^{m_1} \cdot E_{\nu_2}^{m_2} \cdot \dots \cdot E_{\nu_t}^{m_t} \mid 0 \le m_j < N_j; \nu_j \prec \nu_{j+1}, \nu_j \in J, j = 1, 2, \cdots, t; t \in \mathbb{Z}^+, g \in G\}.$$

Moreover, $kG[kQ_1^c, Gg_i, \chi_i; i \in J]$ *is finite dimensional if and only if* $|G|$, $|J|$ *and* N_j *are finite for any* $j \in J$. *In this case,* $\dim_k(kG[kQ_1^c, G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J]) = |G|N_1N_2\cdots N_t$ *with* $J = \{1, 2, \cdots, t\}$.

(ii) *diag*$(kG[kQ_1^c, G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J])$ *has a* k*-basis*

$$\{E_{\nu_1}^{m_1} \cdot E_{\nu_2}^{m_2} \cdot \dots \cdot E_{\nu_t}^{m_t} \mid 0 \le m_j < N_j; \nu_j \prec \nu_{j+1}, \nu_j \in J, j = 1, 2, \cdots, t; t \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}. \quad (3.6)$$

(iii) *diag*$(kG[kQ_1^c, G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J])$ *is a Nichols algebra in* ${}^{kG}_{kG}\mathcal{YD}$ *and* $\mathcal{R}(G, g_i, \chi_i^{-1}; j \in J) \cong$ *diag*$(kG[kQ_1^c, G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J])$ *as graded braided Hopf algebras in* ${}^{kG}_{kG}\mathcal{YD}$, *by sending* x_j *to* $a_{g_j,1}^{(j)}$ *for any* $j \in J$.

(iv) $\mathcal{T}(G, g_i, \chi_i^{-1}; j \in J) \cong$ *diag*$(kQ^s(g_i, \chi_i; i \in J))$ *as graded braided Hopf algebras in* ${}^{kG}_{kG}\mathcal{YD}$ *algebras, by sending* x_j *to* $a_{g_j,1}^{(j)}$ *for any* $j \in J$.

(v) $kQ^s(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J) \cong \mathcal{T}(G, g_i, \chi_i^{-1}; j \in J)\#kG$ *as graded Hopf algebras and* $kQ^s(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$ *has a* k*-basis*

$$\{g \cdot E_{\nu_1} \otimes_{kG} E_{\nu_2} \otimes_{kG} \cdots \otimes_{kG} E_{\nu_t} \mid \nu_j \in J, j = 1, 2, \cdots, t; t \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}, g \in G\},$$

where $g \cdot E_{\nu_1} \otimes_{kG} E_{\nu_2} \otimes_{kG} \cdots \otimes_{kG} E_{\nu_t} = g$ *when* $t = 0$.

Note that (iv) and (v) still hold without quantum weakly commutative condition.

Proof. (ii) Since $(N_j)_{q_j}! = 0$, it follows from Lemma 3.3 that $E_j^{N_j} = 0$ when $N_j < \infty$. By Lemma 3.3, $E_i \cdot E_j = \chi_j(g_i^{-1})E_j \cdot E_i$ for any $i, j \in J$ with $i \neq j$. Considering Lemma 3.5, we complete the proof.

(iii) By Lemma 3.3 and Eq.(3.2), there exists an algebra homomorphism ψ from $\mathcal{R}(G, g_i, \chi_i^{-1}; j \in J)$ to *diag*$(kG[kQ_1^c, G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J])$ by sending x_j to $a_{g_j,1}^{(j)}$ for any $j \in J$. By (ii), ψ is bijective. It is clear that ψ is a graded braided Hopf algebra isomorphism.

Let $R := \mathcal{R}(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$. Obviously, $R_{(1)} \subseteq P(R)$. It is sufficient to show that any non-zero homogeneous element $z \in R$, whose degree $deg(z)$ is not equal to 1, is not a primitive element. Obviously, z is not a primitive element when $deg(z) = 0$. Now $deg(z) > 1$. We can assume, without lost generality, that there exist $\nu_1, \nu_2, \cdots, \nu_t \in J$

such that $z = \sum_{|\mathbf{i}|=n} k_{\mathbf{i}} x^{\mathbf{i}}$, where $k_i \in k$, $x^{\mathbf{i}} = x_{\nu_1}^{i_1} x_{\nu_2}^{i_2} \cdots x_{\nu_t}^{i_t}$ with $i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_t = n$. It is clear

$$\Delta(z) = \sum_{|\mathbf{i}|=n} k_{\mathbf{i}} \Delta(x^{\mathbf{i}}) = z \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes z + \sum_{|\mathbf{i}|=n} \sum_{0 \leq \mathbf{j} \leq \mathbf{i},\ 0 \neq \mathbf{j} \neq \mathbf{i}} k_{\mathbf{i}} c_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} x^{\mathbf{j}} \otimes x^{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{j}}. \tag{3.7}$$

If z is a primitive element, then $\sum_{|\mathbf{i}|=n} \sum_{0 \leq \mathbf{j} \leq \mathbf{i},\ 0 \neq \mathbf{j} \neq \mathbf{i}} k_{\mathbf{i}} c_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} x^{\mathbf{j}} \otimes x^{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{j}} = 0$. Since $c_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} \neq 0$, we have $k_{\mathbf{i}} = 0$ for any $\mathbf{i}$ with $\mid \mathbf{i} \mid = n$, hence $z = 0$. We get a contradiction. Thus z is not a primitive element. This show $R_{(1)} = P(R)$ and R is a Nichols algebra.

(iv) and (v). Let $A := \mathcal{T}(G, g_i, \chi_i^{-1}; j \in J)$ and $R = diag(kQ^s(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J))$. Let ψ be an algebra homomorphism from $\mathcal{T}(G, g_i, \chi_i^{-1}; j \in J)$ to $diag(kQ^s(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J))$ by sending x_j to $a_{g_j,1}^{(j)}$.

It is clear that $\mathcal{T}(G, g_i, \chi_i^{-1}; j \in J)$ is a kG-module algebra. Define a linear map ν from $A\#kG$ to kQ^s by sending $x_j\#g$ to $a_{g_j,1}^{(j)} \cdot g = \alpha^+(a_{g_j,1}^{(j)} \otimes g)$ for any $g \in G, j \in J$. That is, ν is the composition of

$$A\#kG \overset{\psi\otimes id}{\rightarrow} R\#kG \overset{\alpha^+}{\cong} kQ^s,$$

where $\alpha^+ = \mu_{kQ^s}(id \otimes \iota_0)$ (see Theorem 5). Define a linear map λ from kG to $A\#kG$ by sending g to $1\#g$ for any $g \in G$ and another linear map γ from kQ_1^c to $A\#kG$ by sending $a_{g_ih,h}^{(i)}$ to $\chi_i^{-1}(h) x_i\#h$ for any $h \in G, i \in J$. It is clear that γ is a kG-bimodule homomorphism from kQ_1^c to ${}_\lambda(A\#kG)_\lambda$. Considering $kQ^s = T_{kG}(kQ_1^c)$ and universal property of tensor algebra over kG, we have that there exists an algebra homomorphism $\phi = T_{kG}(\lambda, \gamma)$ from kQ^s to $A\#kG$. Obviously, ϕ is the inverse of ν. Thus ϕ is bijective. It is easy to check that ϕ is graded Hopf algebra isomorphism. Obviously, $\{x_{\nu_1} x_{\nu_2} \cdots x_{\nu_t}\#g \mid \nu_j \in J, j = 1, 2, \cdots, t; t \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}, g \in G\}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{T}(G, g_i, \chi_i^{-1}; j \in J)\#kG$. See

$$\begin{aligned} & \nu(x_{\nu_1} x_{\nu_2} \cdots x_{\nu_t}\#g) \\ = \ & E_{\nu_1} \otimes_{kG} E_{\nu_2} \otimes_{kG} \cdots \otimes_{kG} E_{\nu_t} \cdot g \\ = \ & \chi_{\nu_1}(g)\chi_{\nu_2}(g) \cdots \chi_{\nu_t}(g) g \cdot E_{\nu_1} \otimes_{kG} E_{\nu_2} \otimes_{kG} \cdots \otimes_{kG} E_{\nu_t}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\{g \cdot E_{\nu_1} \otimes_{kG} E_{\nu_2} \otimes_{kG} \cdots \otimes_{kG} E_{\nu_t} \mid \nu_j \in J, j = 1, 2, \cdots, t; t \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}, g \in G\}$ is a basis of kQ^s. It is easy to check that ψ is graded braided Hopf algebra isomorphism.

(i) Considering (ii), Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 5, we complete the proof. □

3.2 A characterization of multiple Taft algebras

In this subsection we characterize multiple Taft algebras by means of elements in themselves.

Definition 3.6. *For a quantum weakly commutative* ESC$(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$*, let A be the Hopf algebra to satisfy the following conditions:* (i) *G is a subgroup of $G(A)$;* (ii) *there* *exists a linearly independent subset* $\{X_i \mid i \in J\}$ *of* A *such that* A *is generated by set* $\{X_i \mid i \in J\} \cup G$ *as algebras;* (iii) X_j *is* $(1, g_j)$*-primitive, i.e.,* $\Delta(X_j) = X_j \otimes 1 + g_j \otimes X_j$, *for any* $j \in J$; (iv) $X_j g = \chi_j(g) g X_j$, *for any* $j \in J,$, $g \in G$*;* (v) $X_j X_i = \chi_j(g_i) X_i X_j$, *for* $i, j \in J$ *with* $i \neq j$; (vi) $A_{(0)} \cap A_{(1)} = 0$, *where* $A_{(0)} := kG$ *and* $A_{(1)}$ *is the vector space spanned by set* $\{hX_i \mid i \in J; h \in G\}$*. Furthermore, let* $J(A)$ *denote the ideal of* A *generated by the set*

$$\{X_i^{N_i} \mid N_i < \infty, i \in J\}$$

and $H(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$ *the quotient algebra* $A/J(A)$*.*

Lemma 3.7. *(See [AS2, Lemma 3.3]) Let* H *be a Hopf algebra with a linearly independent set* $\{x_1 \dots, x_t\}$ *and* G *a subgroup of* $G(H)$*. Assume that* $g_i \in Z(G)$ *and* $\chi_i \in \hat{G}$ *such that* $\Delta(x_i) = x_i \otimes 1 + g_i \otimes x_i, \quad x_i h = \chi_i(h) h x_i$*, for* $i = 1, 2, \cdots, t,\ h \in G$*. If the intersection of* kG *and span* $\{hx_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq t, h \in G\}$ *is zero, then*

$$\{h x_1^{m_1} x_2^{m_2} \cdots x_t^{m_t} \mid 0 \leq m_j < N_j, 1 \leq j \leq t; h \in G\}.$$

is linearly independent, where N_i *is the order of* $q_i := \chi_i(g_i)$ *(* $N_i = \infty$ *when* q_i *is not a root of unit, or* $q_i = 1$ *) for* $1 \leq i \leq t$*.*

Proposition 3.8. *If* $\mathrm{ESC}(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$ *is quantum weakly commutative, then* $H(G;\ g_i, \chi_i;\ i \in J)$ *and multiple Taft algebra* $kG[kQ_1^c, G, g_i, \chi_i;\ i \in J]$ *are isomorphic as graded Hopf algebras.*

Remark: $H(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$ just is $H(C, n, c, c^*, 0, 0)$ in [DNR, Definition 5.6.8 and Definition 5.6.15] with $G = C,\ J = \{1, 2, \cdots, t\},\ 1 < n_i = N_i < \infty,\ g_i = c_i, c_i^* = \chi_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, t$.

3.3 The relation between semi-path Hopf algebras and quantum enveloping algebras

If $0 \neq q \in k$ and $0 \leq i \leq n < ord(q)$ (the order of q), we set

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} n \\ i \end{array} \right]_q = \frac{[n]_q!}{[i]_q![n-i]_q!}, \quad \text{where } [n]_q! = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} [i]_q, \quad [n]_q = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

Let B be a Hopf algebra and R a braided Hopf algebra in ${}_B^B\mathcal{YD}$. For convenience, we denote $r\#1$ by r and $1\#b$ by b in biproduct $R\#B$ for any $r \in R,\ b \in B$.

Lemma 3.9. *Let* B *be a Hopf algebra and* R *a braided Hopf algebra in* ${}_B^B\mathcal{YD}$ *with* $x_1, x_2 \in P(R)$ *and* $g_1, g_2 \in Z(G(B))$*. Assume that there exist* $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in Alg(B, k)$ *with* $\sqrt{\chi_i(g_j)} \in k$ *such that*

$$\delta_R(x_j) = g_j \otimes x_j,\ h \cdot x_j = \chi_j(h^{-1}) x_j,$$

for all $h \in B, j = 1, 2$.

(i) If r *is a positive integer and*

$$\chi_2(g_1)\chi_1(g_2)\chi_1(g_1)^{r-1} = 1, \ \chi_1(g_1)^{\frac{1}{2}(r-1)}\chi_2(g_1) = 1, \ r - 1 < ord(\chi_1(g_1)), \tag{3.8}$$

then

$$\sum_{m=0}^{r}(-1)^m \begin{bmatrix} r \\ m \end{bmatrix}_{\sqrt{\chi_1(g_1^{-1})}} x_1^{r-m}x_2x_1^m \tag{3.9}$$

$= (ad_c x_1)^r x_2$ *is a primitive element of* R *and a* $(1, g_1^r g_2)$*-primitive element of biproduct* $R\#B$*, where* $(ad_c x_1)x_2 = x_1x_2 - \chi_2(g_1^{-1})x_2x_1$.

(ii) If $\sqrt{\chi_1(g_2)}\sqrt{\chi_2(g_1)} = 1$ *and* $x_ig_j = \chi_i(g_j)g_jx_i$ *for* $i, j = 1, 2$*, then*

$$\sqrt{\chi_2(g_1)}x_1x_2 - \sqrt{\chi_1(g_2)}x_2x_1$$

is a primitive element of R *and*

$$\sqrt{\chi_2(g_1)}x_1x_2 - \sqrt{\chi_1(g_2)}x_2x_1 - \beta(g_1g_2 - 1)$$

is a $(1, g_1g_2)$*-primitive element of biproduct* $R\#B$ *for any* $\beta \in k$.

For an ESC$(G, \chi_i, g_i; i \in J)$, we give the follows notations:

(FL1) N is a set and $J = \cup_{s\in N}(J_s \cup J'_s)$ is a disjoint union.

(FL2) There exists a bijection $\sigma : J^{(1)} \to J^{(2)}$ such that $\sigma \mid_{J_u}$ is a bijection from J_u to J'_u for $u \in N$, where $J^{(1)} := \cup_{u\in N}J_u$ and $J^{(2)} := \cup_{u\in N}J'_u$.

(FL3) There exists a $J^{(1)} \times J^{(1)}$-matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ with $a_{ii} = 2$ and non-positive integer a_{ij} for any $i, j \in J^{(1)}$ and $i \neq j$. For any $u \in N$, there exists an integer $d_i^{(u)}$ such that $d_i^{(u)}a_{ij} = d_j^{(u)}a_{ji}$ for any $i, j \in J_u$.

(FL4) For any $u \in N$, there exists $0 \neq q_u \in k$ such that $\chi_i(g_j) = q_u^{-2d_i^{(u)}a_{ij}}$, $\chi_{\sigma(i)}(g_j) = \chi_i^{-1}(g_j)$ and $g_{\sigma(j)} = g_j$ for $i, j \in J_u$.

(FL5) There exists $\xi_i \in G$ such that $\chi_{\sigma(i)}(\xi_j) = \chi_i^{-1}(\xi_j)$, $\xi_{\sigma(i)} := \xi_i^{-1}$ and $g_i = g_{\sigma(i)} := \xi_i^2$ for any $i, j \in J_u$, $u \in N$; there exists a positive integer r_{ij} such that $r_{\sigma(i),\sigma(j)} = r_{ij}$ for any $i, j \in J^{(1)}$ with $i \neq j$.

(FL6) $\chi_j(g_i)\chi_i(g_j)\chi_i(g_i)^{r_{ij}-1} = 1$, $\chi_i(\xi_j) = \chi_j(\xi_i)$, $\chi_i(g_i)^{\frac{1}{2}(r_{ij}-1)}\chi_j(g_i) = 1$, for any $i, j \in J_u$, $i \neq j$, $u \in N$.

(FL7) G is a free commutative group generated by generator set $\{\xi_i \mid i \in J^{(1)}\}$.

An ESC$(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$ is said to be a local FL-matrix type (see [AS4, P.4]) if (FL1)–(FL4) hold. An ESC$(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$ is said to be a local FL-type if (FL1), (FL2), (FL5) and (FL6) hold. An ESC$(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$ is said to be a local FL-free type if (FL1), (FL2), (FL5), (FL6) and (FL7) hold. An ESC$(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$ is said to be a local FL-quantum group type if (FL1)– (FL4) and (FL7) hold. If N only contains one element and $J^{(1)} = \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$, then we delete 'local' in the terms above.

Let $\mathrm{ESC}(G, \chi_i, g_i; i \in J)$ be a local FL-free type. Let I be the ideal of $kQ^s(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$ generated by the following elements:

$$\chi_j(\xi_i)E_iE_j - \chi_i^{-1}(\xi_j)E_jE_i - \delta_{\sigma(i),j}\ \frac{g_i^2 - 1}{\chi_i(\xi_i) - \chi_i(\xi_i)^{-1}},\ \text{for } i \in J_u, j \in J'_u, u \in N; \tag{3.10}$$

$$\sum_{m=0}^{r_{ij}} (-1)^m \begin{bmatrix} r_{ij} \\ m \end{bmatrix}_{\chi_i(\xi_i^{-1})} E_i^{r_{ij}-m} E_j E_i^m, \tag{3.11}$$

for any $i, j \in J_u$ or $i, j \in J'_u, i \neq j$ and $r_{ij} - 1 < ord(\chi_i(g_i)); u \in N$.

Let U be the algebra generated by set $\{K_i, X_i \mid i \in J\}$ with relations

$$\begin{gathered} X_iX_j - X_jX_i = \delta_{\sigma(i),j}\ \tfrac{K_i^2 - K_i^{-2}}{\chi_i(\xi_i) - \chi_i(\xi_i)^{-1}},\ \ \text{for any } i \in J_u, j \in J'_u; u \in N; \\ K_iK_{\sigma(i)} = K_{\sigma(i)}K_i = 1\ \ \text{for any } i \in J^{(1)}; \\ \chi_j(\xi_i)K_iX_j = X_jK_i,\ \ K_iK_j - K_jK_i = 0,\ \ \text{for any } i, j \in J; \\ \textstyle\sum_{m=0}^{r_{ij}} (-1)^m \begin{bmatrix} r_{ij} \\ m \end{bmatrix}_{\chi_i(\xi_i^{-1})} X_i^{r_{ij}-m} X_j X_i^m = 0, \\ \text{for } i, j \in J_u \text{ or } i, j \in J'_u, i \neq j \text{ and } r_{ij} - 1 < ord(\chi_i(g_i)); u \in N. \end{gathered} \tag{3.12}$$

The comultiplication, counit and antipode of U are defined by

$$\begin{array}{lll} \Delta(X_j) = X_j \otimes K_{\sigma(j)} + K_j \otimes X_j, & S(X_j) = -\chi_j(\xi_j)X_j, & \epsilon(X_i) = 0, \\ \Delta(K_i) = K_i \otimes K_i, & S(K_j) = K_{\sigma(j)}, & \varepsilon(K_i) = 1, \\ \Delta(X_{\sigma(j)}) = X_{\sigma(j)} \otimes K_{\sigma(j)} + K_j \otimes X_{\sigma(j)}, & S(X_{\sigma(j)}) = -\chi_{\sigma(j)}(\xi_j)X_{\sigma(j)} & \end{array} \tag{3.13}$$

for any $j \in J^{(1)}, i \in J$.

In fact, $K_{\sigma(j)} = K_j^{-1}$ in U for any $j \in J^{(1)}$.

Theorem 7. *Under notation above, if* $\mathrm{ESC}(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$ *is a local FL-free type, then* $kQ^s(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)/I \cong U$ *as Hopf algebras.*

Proof. For any $i, j \in J_u, u \in N$, see $\chi_{\sigma(i)}(\xi_{\sigma(j)}) = \chi_i(\xi_j) = \chi_j(\xi_i) = \chi_{\sigma(j)}(\xi_{\sigma(i)})$, $\chi_{\sigma(i)}(\xi_j) = \chi_i^{-1}(\xi_j) = \chi_j^{-1}(\xi_i) = \chi_j(\xi_{\sigma(i)})$, $\chi_i(\xi_{\sigma(j)}) = \chi_i(\xi_j)^{-1} = \chi_j(\xi_i)^{-1} = \chi_{\sigma(j)}(\xi_i)$. Therefore,

$$\chi_i(\xi_j) = \chi_j(\xi_i) \tag{3.14}$$

For any $i, j \in J_u \cup J'_u, u \in N$. Obviously, for $i, j \in J'_u$, $i \neq j$, $u \in N$, (FL6) holds.

We show this theorem by following several steps.

(i) There is a algebra homomorphism Φ from kQ^s to U such that $\Phi(\xi_i) = K_i$, $\Phi(a^{(i)}_{hg_i,h}) = \Phi(h)K_iX_i$ and $\Phi(a^{(\sigma(i))}_{hg_{\sigma(i)},h}) = \Phi(h)K_iX_{\sigma(i)}$ for all $h \in G$ and $i \in J^{(1)}$. Indeed, define algebra homomorphism $\phi : kG \to U$ given by $\phi(\xi_i) = K_i$ for $i \in J$ and a

k-linear map $\psi : kQ_1^c \rightarrow U$ by $\psi(a_{gg_j,g}^{(j)}) = \phi(g)K_jX_j$ and $\psi(a_{gg_{\sigma(j)},g}^{(\sigma(j))}) = \phi(g)K_jX_{\sigma(j)}$ for any $j \in J^{(1)}$, $g \in G$. For any $g, h \in G, j \in J^{(1)}$, see

$$\psi(h \cdot a_{gg_j,g}^{(j)}) \;\; = \;\; \psi(a_{hgg_j,hg}^{(j)}) = \phi(hg)K_jX_j = \phi(h)\phi(g)K_jX_j = \phi(h)\psi(a_{gg_j,g}^{(j)}),$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \psi(a_{gg_j,g}^{(j)} \cdot h) & = & \chi_j(h)\psi(a_{hgg_j,hg}^{(j)}) = \chi_j(h)\phi(hg)K_jX_j \\ & = & \phi(g)K_jX_j\phi(h) \;\; (\text{ since } X_j\phi(h) = \phi(h)\chi_j(h)X_j) \\ & = & \psi(a_{gg_j,g}^{(j)})\phi(h). \end{array}$$

Similarly, $\psi(h \cdot a_{gg_{\sigma(j)},g}^{(\sigma(j))}) = \phi(h)\psi(a_{gg_{\sigma(j)},g}^{(\sigma(j))})$ and $\psi(a_{gg_{\sigma(j)},g}^{(\sigma(j))} \cdot h) = \psi(a_{gg_{\sigma(j)},g}^{(\sigma(j))})\phi(h)$. This implies that ψ is a kG-bimodule map from $(kQ_1^c, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$ to ${}_\phi U_\phi$. Using the universal property of tensor algebra over kG, we complete the proof.

(ii) $\Phi(I) = 0$. For any $i \in J_u, j \in J'_u$, see that

$$\begin{array}{rl} & \Phi(\chi_j(\xi_i)E_iE_j - \chi_i(\xi_j)^{-1}E_jE_i - \delta_{\sigma(i),j} \; \frac{g_i^2-1}{\chi_i(\xi_i)-\chi_i(\xi_i)^{-1}}) \\ = & \chi_j(\xi_i)K_iX_iK_j^{-1}X_j - \chi_i(\xi_j)^{-1}K_j^{-1}X_jK_iX_i - \delta_{\sigma(i),j} \; \frac{K_i^4-1}{\chi_i(\xi)-\chi_i(\xi_i)^{-1}} \\ = & K_iK_j^{-1}X_iX_j - K_j^{-1}K_iX_jX_i - \delta_{\sigma(i),j} \; \frac{K_i^4-1}{\chi_i(\xi)-\chi_i(\xi_i)^{-1}} \\ & \\ = & K_iK_j^{-1}(X_iX_j - X_jX_i - \delta_{\sigma(i),j} \; \frac{K_i^3K_j-K_i^{-1}K_j}{\chi_i(\xi_i)-\chi_i(\xi_i)^{-1}}) \\ = & K_iK_j^{-1}(X_iX_j - X_jX_i - \delta_{\sigma(i),j} \; \frac{K_i^2-K_i^{-2}}{\chi_i(\xi_i)-\chi_i(\xi_i)^{-1}}) = 0. \end{array}$$

For $i, j \in J_u$, $i \neq j$, see that

$$\begin{array}{rl} & \Phi(E_i^{r_{ij}-m}E_jE_i^m) \\ = & (K_iX_i)^{r_{ij}-m}K_jX_j(K_iX_i)^m \\ = & \chi_i(\xi_i)^{\frac{1}{2}((r_{ij}-m)(r_{ij}-m-1)+m(m-1)+2(r_{ij}-m)m)}\chi_i(\xi_j)^{r_{ij}-m}\chi_j(\xi_i)^mX_i^{r_{ij}-m}X_jX_i^m \\ = & \chi_i(\xi_i)^{\frac{1}{2}(r_{ij}-1)r_{ij}}\chi_i(\xi_j)^{r_{ij}}X_i^{r_{ij}-m}X_jX_i^m \;\; ((\text{by } (FL6)) \end{array}$$

and

$$\Phi(\sum_{m=0}^{r_{ij}}(-1)^m \left[\begin{array}{c} r_{ij} \\ m \end{array} \right]_{\chi_i(\xi_i^{-1})} E_i^{r_{ij}-m}E_jE_i^m) = 0.$$

Similarly, the equation above holds for $i, j \in J'_u$, $i \neq j$, $u \in N$.

By (ii), there exists an algebra homomorphism $\bar{\Phi}$ from kQ^s/I to U such that $\bar{\Phi}(x+I) = \Phi(x)$ for any $x \in kQ^s$. For convenience, we will still use x to denote $x + I$.

(iii) It follows from the definition of U that there exists a unique algebra map $\Psi : U \rightarrow kQ^s/I$ such that $\Psi(K_i) = \xi_i$, $\Psi(X_i) = \xi_i^{-1}E_i$ and $\Psi(X_{\sigma(i)}) = \xi_i^{-1}E_{\sigma(i)}$ for all $i \in J^{(1)}$. It is easy to see that $\Psi\overline{\Phi} = id$ and $\bar{\Phi}\Psi = id$.

(iv) We show that I is a Hopf ideal of kQ^s. It follows from Theorem 6 that $R := diag(kQ^s)$ is a braided Hopf algebra with $\delta^-(E_i) = g_i \otimes E_i$, $h \triangleright E_i = \chi_i^{-1}(h)E_i$ for any $h \in G$, $i \in J$. By Theorem 5, $R\#B \cong kQ^s$ as Hopf algebras. It follows from Lemma 3.9 that I is a Hopf ideal of kQ^s.

Obviously, Ψ preserve the comultiplication and counit. Since kQ^s/I is a Hopf algebra, then Ψ is a Hopf algebra isomorphism. $\Box$

Corollary 3.10. *The quantum enveloping algebra of a complex semisimple Lie algebra is isomorphic to a quotient of a semi-path Hopf algebra as Hopf algebras.*

Proof. Let k be the complex field and L a complex semisimple Lie algebra determined by $A = (a_{ij})_{n\times n}$. So A is a symmetrizable Cartan matrix with $d_i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ such that $d_i a_{ij} = d_j a_{ji}$ for any $i, j \in J^{(1)} = \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$. Let $\mid N \mid= 1$, $J^{(2)} = \{n+1, n+2, \cdots, n+n\}$, $J = J^{(1)} \cup J^{(2)}$ and $\sigma : J^{(1)} \to J^{(2)}$ by sending i to $i + n$. Let G be a free commutative group generated by generator set $\{\xi_i \mid i \in J^{(1)}\}$. Set $\xi_{\sigma(i)} = \xi_i^{-1}$, $g_i = g_{\sigma(i)} := \xi_i^2$, $r_{ij} = r_{\sigma(i),\sigma(j)} = 1 - a_{ij}$ for any $i, j \in J^{(1)}$, $i \neq j$. Define $\chi_i(\xi_j) = q^{-d_i a_{ij}}$ and $\chi_{\sigma(i)}(\xi_j) = \chi_i^{-1}(\xi_j)$ for any $i, j \in J^{(1)}$, where q is not a root of 1 with $0 \neq q \in k$. It is easy to check (FL1)–(FL7) hold, i.e. ESC$(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$ is an FL-quantum group type. U in Theorem 7 exactly is the quantum enveloping algebra $U_q(L)$ of L (see [Mo, p.218] or [Lu]). Therefore the conclusion follows from Theorem 7. $\Box$

In fact, for any a generalized Cartan matrix A, we can obtain an FL-quantum group type ESC$(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$ as in the proof above. By the way, if ESC$(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$ is a Local FL-type, then there exist the Hopf ideals, which generated by (3.10) and (3.11), in co-path Hopf algebra $kQ^c(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$ and multiple Taft algebra $kQ^c(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$, respectively.

4 Appendix

Proposition 4.1. *If $(kQ_1^c, G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u)$ is a kG-Hopf bimodule, then $(kG)^*$-coactions on the $(kG)^*$-Hopf bimodule $(kQ_1^a, G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u)$ are given by*

$$\delta^-(a_{y,x}^{(i)}) = \sum_{h\in G} p_h \otimes a_{h^{-1}y,h^{-1}x}^{(i)}, \quad \delta^+(a_{y,x}^{(i)}) = \sum_{h\in G} \chi_C^{(i)}(\zeta_\theta(h^{-1})^{-1}) a_{yh^{-1},xh^{-1}}^{(i)} \otimes p_h$$

where $x, y \in G$ with $x^{-1}y = g_\theta^{-1}u(C)g_\theta$, ζ_θ is given by (0.3), $C \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$, $i \in I_C(r)$ and $p_h \in (kG)^*$ is defined by $p_h(g) = \delta_{h,g}$ for all $g, h \in G$.

Now, we give an interesting quantum combinatoric formula by means of multiple Taft algebras. Let n be a positive integer and S_n be the symmetric group on the set $\{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$. For any permutation $\sigma \in S_n$, let $\tau(\sigma)$ denote the number of reverse order of σ, i.e., $\tau(\sigma) = |\{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n, \sigma(i) > \sigma(j)\}|$. For any $0 \neq q \in k$, let $S_n(q) := \sum_{\sigma\in S_n} q^{\tau(\sigma)}$.

Lemma 4.2. *In $kQ^c(G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u)$, assume $g \in \mathcal{K}_r(G)$ and $j \in I_{\{g\}}(r)$. Let $q := \chi_{\{g\}}^{(j)}(g)$. If $i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_m$ be non-negative integers, then*

$$a_{g^{i_m+1},g^{i_m}}^{(j)} \cdot a_{g^{i_{m-1}+1},g^{i_{m-1}}}^{(j)} \cdot \cdots \cdot a_{g^{i_1+1},g^{i_1}}^{(j)} \quad = \quad q^{\beta_m + \frac{m(m-1)}{2}} S_m(q^{-1}) P_{g^{\alpha_m}}^{(j)}(g, m)$$

where $\alpha_m = i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_m$, $P_h^{(j)}(g,m) = a^{(j)}_{g^m h, g^{m-1}h} a^{(j)}_{g^{m-1}h, g^{m-2}h} \cdots a^{(j)}_{gh,h}$, $\beta_1 = 0$ *and* $\beta_m = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1}(i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_j)$ *if* $m > 1$.

Proof. Now let $a_l = a^{(j)}_{g^{i_{l+1}}, g^{i_l}}$ for $l = 1, 2, \cdots, m$ and consider the sequence of m arrows $A = (a_m, \cdots, a_1)$. We shall use the notations of [CR1, p.247]. For any $\sigma \in S_m$ and $1 \le l \le m$, let $m(\sigma, l) = |\{i \mid i < l, \sigma(i) < \sigma(l)\}|$. Then

$$m(\sigma, l) = (l-1) - |\{i \mid i < l, \sigma(i) > \sigma(l)\}|,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \textstyle\sum_{l=1}^{m} m(\sigma, l) &= \tfrac{m(m-1)}{2} - \textstyle\sum_{l=1}^{m} |\{i \mid i < l, \sigma(i) > \sigma(l)\}| \\ &= \tfrac{m(m-1)}{2} - \tau(\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Now it follows from [CR1, Proposition 3.13] that

$$\begin{aligned} a_m \cdot a_{m-1} \cdot \cdots \cdot a_1 &= \textstyle\sum_{\sigma \in S_m} A_m^{\sigma} \cdots A_2^{\sigma} A_1^{\sigma} \\ &= \textstyle\sum_{\sigma \in S_m} \left(\prod_{l=1}^{m} q^{i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_{\sigma(l)-1} + m(\sigma, l)}\right) P^{(j)}_{g^{\alpha_m}}(g, m) \\ &= q^{\beta_m + \frac{m(m-1)}{2}} S_m(q^{-1}) P^{(j)}_{g^{\alpha_m}}(g, m). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Let $G \cong \mathbf{Z}$ be the infinite cyclic group with generator g and let r be a ramification of G given by $r_{\{g\}} = 1$ and $r_{\{g^n\}} = 0$ if $n \neq 1$. Let $0 \neq q \in k$. Define $\chi_g^{(1)} \in \widehat{G}$ by $\chi_g^{(1)}(g) = q$. Then $(G, r, \overrightarrow{\chi}, u)$ is an RSC. Using Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 4.2, one gets the following result.

Example 4.3. (i) *For any* $0 \neq q \in k$, $(m)_q! = q^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}} S_m(q^{-1})$, *and* $S_m(q) = (m)_{\frac{1}{q}}! q^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}}$, *where* m *is a positive integer.*

(ii) *Assume* q *is a primitive* n*-th root of unity with* $n > 1$. *Then* $S_m(q) = 0$ *if* $m \ge n$, *and* $S_m(q) \neq 0$ *if* $0 < m < n$.

Acknowledgement: We would like to thank referees and Prof. N. Andruskiewitsch for comments and suggestions. The first two authors were financially supported by the Australian Research Council, and the author Chen was supported by NSF of China (10471121) and Sino-German project (GZ310). S.C.Z thanks the Department of Mathematics, University of Queensland for hospitality.

References

[AS1] N. Andruskiewitsch and H. J. Schneider, *Hopf algebras of order* p^2 *and braided Hopf algebras* , J. Alg. **199** (1998), 430–454.

[AS2] ________, *Lifting of quantum linear spaces and pointed Hopf algebras of order* p^3, J. Alg. **209** (1998), 645–691.

[AS3] ________, *Pointed Hopf algebras, new directions in Hopf algebras*, edited by S. Montgomery and H.J. Schneider, Cambradge University Press, 2002.

[AS4] ________, *Finite quantum groups and Cartan matrices*, Adv. Math., **154** (2000), 1–45.

[ARS] M. Auslander, I. Reiten and S. O. Smalϕ, Representation theory of Artin algebras, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[CHYZ] X. W. Chen, H. L. Huang, Y. Ye and P. Zhang, *Monomial Hopf algebras*, J. Alg. **275** (2004), 212–232.

[CM] W. Chin and S. Montgomery, *Basic coalgebras, modular interfaces*, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., **4**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997, pp.41–47.

[CR1] C. Cibils and M. Rosso, *Hopf quivers*, J. Alg., **254** (2002), 241-251.

[CR2] C. Cibils and M. Rosso, *Algebres des chemins quantiques*, Adv. Math., **125** (1997), 171–199.

[DNR] S. Dascalescu, C. Nastasecu and S. Raianu, *Hopf algebras: an introduction*, Marcel Deker Inc., New York, 2001.

[Lu] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to Quantum groups*, Progress Math. **110** , Berlin, 1993.

[Ma1] S. Majid, *Physics for algebraists: non-commutative and non-cocommutative Hopf algebras by a bicross product construction*, J. Alg., **130** (1990), 17–64.

[Mo] S. Montgomery, *Hopf algebras and their actions on rings*, CBMS no.82, AMS, Providence, RI, 1993.

[Ni] W. Nichols, *Bialgebras of type one*, Commun. Alg., **6** (1978), 1521–1552.

[OZ] F. Van Oystaeyen and P. Zhang, *Quiver Hopf algebras*, J. Alg., **280** (2004), 577–589.

[Ra] D. E. Radford, *The structure of Hopf algebras with a projection*, J. Alg., **92** (1985), 322–347.

[RR] D. Robles-Llana and M. Rocek, *Quivers, quotients, and duality*, preprint `hep-th/0405230`.

[RT2] N. Yu. Reshetikhin and V.G. Turaev, *Ribbon graphs and their invariants derived from quantum groups*, Commun. Math. Phys., **127** (1990), 1–26.

[Sw] M. E. Sweedler, *Hopf algebras* , Benjamin, New York, 1969.

[Zh] X. Zhu, *Finite representations of a quiver arising from string theory*, preprint **math.AG/0507316.**